

#### <u>S.de Visscher</u> Université catholique de Louvain J.Alwall (SLAC), F. Maltoni (UCL)

\*\*\*

SUSY08 - Seoul - 20/06/08

# Heavy particles and QCD radiations

 In many models (SUSY, RS, UED, LH,...) Higgs mass stabilized by introducing new strongly interacting particles



 Monte Carlo problem: realistic multi-(extra) jets event generation with full matrix-element calculation is problematic in SM and even more in SUSY.



#### Outline

- Generation of multi-jet processes
- Jet matching in SM and SUSY
- Impact on physical distributions



## Generation of multi-jet processes



# Matrix Element vs Parton Showers

- Matrix-Element
  - A limited number of partons
  - Valid when partons are well separated in the phase-space
  - Needed for multi-jet description

- Parton Showers
  - Any number of partons
  - Valid when partons are collinear/softs
  - Needed for realistic studies

 We need both approaches to simulate physics from hardscattering scale down to hadronization scale (~I GeV)



- What happens if ME and PS are used without control?
  - Example: X + 3 partons vs X + 2 partons



If you add all multiplicities: wrong cross-section.



# The jet matching in SM and SUSY



# The principle of the matching

• To avoid overlap: one parton has to give one jet (except for highest multiplicity sample)

 A ME has to rule distances between jets (scale>jet definition) and PS only the shower (scale<jet definition)</li>

 Ise ME calculation for hard scales, and PS for low scales: define a cutoff (different definitions are possible) to separate ME and PS phase-spaces and use a matching technique































# Kt MLM in more details

#### [Mrenna, Richardson; Alwall]

- Main steps are
  - Generate events with a minimal distance in the phasespace between the partons
  - Perform showering using Pythia
  - Match each jet with a parton using the cutoff as maximal distance
    - if N(jet)≠N(parton)→ reject (except if it's the sample with highest multiplicity)
- For clustering algos, the distance definition is Kt instead of Cone (MLM [Mangano])

#### Does it work?

• A real test of Modified MLM: W,Z+ jets at Tevatron

UCL



 For other SM processes: [MG Team] Theoritical validation for ttbar+jets, QCD, bbar+jets,photon +jets, we come to that in a while...

# UCL Matching in SUSY? Again a story of double counting!

• Additional difficulty: double counting due to susy particles

Example:  $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}jj$ 







# UCL Matching in SUSY? Again a story of double counting!

Additional difficulty: double counting due to susy particles

Example:  $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}jj$ 







If Go's on resonance: double counting with



with  $go \rightarrow dr + q$  in pythia

#### UCL Matching in SUSY? Again a story of double counting! Additional difficulty: double counting due to susy particles Example: $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}jj$ DODODODOOO <u>ᢐ</u>ᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐᢐ d dr Remove dr dr 555555555 dr 38 graph graph 1 graph 6 If Go's on resonance: double counting with <u>দেতততততততততত</u>তত go go go dr go 7000000000000000

with  $go \rightarrow dr + q$  in pythia



with  $go \rightarrow dr + q$  in pythia

#### Validation? Check differential jet rates



-Transition from PS to ME regime is smooth

-Cross section is stabilized

UCL

-Global shape remains invariant under cutoff change



# Impact of matching

J.Alwall, SdV, F.Maltoni, paper in preparation



# Sensitivity to showers

First study (without matching): Plehn, Rainwater and Skands, Phys.Lett. B645 (2007) 217-221

- Matching implies that jets kinematics are ruled by ME calculations above the cutoff
  - $\Rightarrow$  physical distributions at large Pt should be less sensitive to shower parametrization:
    - Shower evolution variable:  $Q^2$ ,  $P_T^2$ ,...
    - Starting scales: from wimpy to power showers...
    - additional tunes...



### The IS radiation

Case of gluino production done "a la Pythia "(2→2):
 Pt distribution of extra-jets



#### The IS radiation

UCL

• Case where gluinos are produced with ME calculation with up to 2 jets with MG/ME  $(2\rightarrow 2,3,4)$ 

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Scale dependance: gluinos @ SPIa vs ttbar

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Impact on Ht variable

• Signal: gluino pair at 600 GeV  $\Rightarrow$  Final state is at least 4 jets and MET from  $\tilde{g} \to \overline{q}\tilde{q} \to \overline{q}q\chi_0^1$ 

#### Backgrounds

- (V to leptons)+4 jets inclusive (cutoff at 15 GeV) are relevant (only matched!)
- ttbar+0,1,2 jets inclusive

• Use 
$$H_T = \sum P_T^j + MET$$

- Selection: MET>100 GeV, Pt(jet 1,2)>100, Pt(jet 3,4)>50
- Let's see some preliminary results (no lepton consideration up to now, still have to consider Z, squark-gluino and squarksquark)

# UCL Ht(4) for gluino-gluino @ SPIa and matched SM

Case of gluinos production done "a la Pythia " $(2\rightarrow 2)$  vs SM backgrounds (W+jets, ttbar)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

# UCL Ht(4) for gluino-gluino @ SPIa and matched SM

Case where gluinos are produced with ME calculation with up to 2 jets with MG/ME  $(2\rightarrow 2,3,4)$  vs SM backgrounds (W+jets, ttbar)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### An important special case

[Alwall,Le,Lisanti,Wacker]

- If  $m_{\tilde{g}} \sim m_{LSP}$ :
  - Jets from gluinos are soft
  - Missing ET
    - $\Rightarrow$  gluinos "disappear"!

![](_page_30_Picture_7.jpeg)

•  $\Rightarrow$  Compared to the previous case, where  $m_{\tilde{g}} >> m_{LSP}$ impact of matching is huge since jets are almost exclusively ISR (see talk of J.Alwall)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

- To simulate multi-jets events inclusive samples, need a matching technique
- Matching in SUSY possible after solving double counting arising from the presence of resonances
- Sensitivity of extra-jet kinematics to showers parametrization is strongly reduced
- This has an important impact on physical distributions like Ht...and therefore in analyses as well!

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Thanks for you attention! ③

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Back-up slides

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

# The matching in a few clicks

- Using MG/ME:
  - proc\_card.dat: defines the process(es), the number of QCD and QED vertices (this one has to be the smallest possible), the jets flavour(s).
  - run\_card.dat: collider cuts, scales + xqcut (efficiency cut)
  - pythia\_card.dat: shower scheme, scales + Qcut (matching cutoff)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

# What do we want to get?

#partons	#jets	xsec
0	0	exclusive
		exclusive
2	2,3,4,	inclusive

- With Madgraph/MadEvent we can generate
  - all multiplicities in one sample: one hep file at the end
  - one multiplicity by sample (specificy treatment at PS level for each): 3 hep files at the end

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Validation [SdV, P.Demin]

- MatchChecker: <u>http://cp3wks05.fynu.ucl.ac.be/twiki/bin/view/Software/MatchChecker</u>
  - Draw differential jet rates, kinematic, MET, Ht
    - with detailed contribution of each multiplicity
    - in comparison plots if more than one production
  - Produces a rootfile with all global histos for further use
  - Produces a complete report with everything inside

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

# MLM and CKKW

- CKKW (reweighting method) [Catani,Krauss,Kuhn,Webber]
  - Control the showers: no additionnal resolvable radiation
    ⇒ I parton gives I jet (no double counting)

 $\Rightarrow$  reweight event/event by the probability of having no resolvable emission (Sudakov form factor)

- MLM (not reweight, but reject) [Mangano]
  - No control of the showers, but match jets (PS level) with partons (ME level): rejection method
  - Two versions: MLM (Mangano), Modified MLM (Mrenna, Alwall)