



MC tools for hadron colliders "An overview for SUSY & BSM hunters"

Fabio Maltoni

Center for Particle Physics and Phenomenology Université Catholique de Louvain

How are we going to discover SUSY?



Heavy states decaying in jets and leptons and $\not\!\!\!E_T$.

A lesson from the top





How did it go?

0. The only unknown was the top mass!

I.The experimentally easiest channel for triggering/ reconstruction/backgroundcontrol was chosen.

2. Mass reconstruction employed

3. Backgrounds estimated via control samples with heavy flavors and also via MC ratio's.

4. Number of events consistent with the cross section expectation from QCD

Handful of events was enough!

Université catholique de Louvain

A lesson from the top



Immediately confirmed in Run II, also by the most inclusive measurements, $H_{T.}$

Other channels start to be considered as the statistics increases to have a consistent picture.

Cleaner and cleaner samples more exclusive studies:

I.W Polarization

- 2. BR's ratio's
- 3. Top Quark charge
- 4. Differential m_{tt} distribution
- 5. Search for new physics!!

Université catholique de Louvain

A lesson from the top







Summary:

I. More than I 5-year long story

2. At all stages MC's played a role.

3. Now all studies, including the mass measurements, are strongly based on our simulation tools, i.e., matrix element methods.

More sophisticated analysis need more sophisticated MC's...

Is this strategy directly applicable to new heavy state searches?

Université catholique de lauvain Université catholique de Louvain

A lesson from the top

ME&PS

Susy inclusive searches are similar but more complicated final states.



6

Conclusions

C

Chains

Université catholique de Louvain

A lesson from the top

Susy inclusive searches are similar but more complicated final states.

MF&PS



The main difference is that we don't know what to expect!!

Conclusions

C

Chains

I. Find excess(es) over SM backgrounds

Fully exclusive description for rich and energetic final states (multi-jets + EW and QCD particles (W,Z, photon,b,t) Flexible MC to be validated and tuned to control samples.

Accurate predictions (NLO,NNLO) for standard candles SM cross sections (with final state acceptance)

I. Find excess(es) over SM backgrounds

Fully exclusive description for rich and energetic final states (multi-jets + EW and QCD particles (W,Z, photon,b,t) Flexible MC to be validated and tuned to control samples.

Accurate predictions (NLO,NNLO) for standard candles SM cross sections (with final state acceptance)

2. Identify a finite set of coarse models compatible with the excess(es). Inverse problem tools (Ex: OSET)

I. Find excess(es) over SM backgrounds

Fully exclusive description for rich and energetic final states (multi-jets + EW and QCD particles (W,Z, photon,b,t) Flexible MC to be validated and tuned to control samples. Accurate predictions (NLO,NNLO) for standard candles SM cross sections (with final state acceptance)

2. Identify a finite set of coarse models compatible with the excess(es). Inverse problem tools (Ex: OSET)

3. Look for "predicted excesses" in other channels. Simulation of any BSM signature: from models to events in an easy and fast way.

I. Find excess(es) over SM backgrounds

Fully exclusive description for rich and energetic final states (multi-jets + EW and QCD particles (W,Z, photon,b,t) Flexible MC to be validated and tuned to control samples. Accurate predictions (NLO,NNLO) for standard candles SM cross sections (with final state acceptance)

2. Identify a finite set of coarse models compatible with the excess(es). Inverse problem tools (Ex: OSET)

3. Look for "predicted excesses" in other channels. Simulation of any BSM signature: from models to events in an easy and fast way.

4. Refine

Accurate predictions for cross sections of selected models (Ex: SUSY) to identify couplings. Accurate predictions for primary couplings (Ex: spectra calculators).

I. Find excess(es) over SM backgrounds

Fully exclusive description for rich and energetic final states (multi-jets + EW and QCD particles (W,Z, photon,b,t) Flexible MC to be validated and tuned to control samples. Accurate predictions (NLO,NNLO) for standard candles SM cross sections (with final state acceptance)

2. Identify a finite set of coarse models compatible with the excess(es). Inverse problem tools (Ex: OSET)

3. Look for "predicted excesses" in other channels. Simulation of any BSM signature: from models to events in an easy and fast way.

4. Refine

UCL Université catholique

> Accurate predictions for cross sections of selected models (Ex: SUSY) to identify couplings. Accurate predictions for primary couplings (Ex: spectra calculators).

> 5. Perform more detailed studies to measure mass spectrum, quantum numbers, couplings. Accurate ME based description for final state distributions which keeps all the relevant information (Ex. decay chain with spin).

I. Find excess(es) over SM backgrounds

Fully exclusive description for rich and energetic final states (multi-jets + EW and QCD particles (W,Z, photon,b,t) Flexible MC to be validated and tuned to control samples. Accurate predictions (NLO,NNLO) for standard candles SM cross sections (with final state acceptance)

2. Identify a finite set of coarse models compatible with the excess(es). Inverse problem tools (Ex: OSET)

3. Look for "predicted excesses" in other channels. Simulation of any BSM signature: from models to events in an easy and fast way.

4. Refine

UCL Université catholique

> Accurate predictions for cross sections of selected models (Ex: SUSY) to identify couplings. Accurate predictions for primary couplings (Ex: spectra calculators).

> 5. Perform more detailed studies to measure mass spectrum, quantum numbers, couplings. Accurate ME based description for final state distributions which keeps all the relevant information (Ex. decay chain with spin).

6. Refine

Off-shell effects, Matrix Element methods, Global fits (Ex: Sfitter)

Chains Conclusions

What will be needed from TH?

I. Find excess(es) over SM backgrounds

Fully exclusive description for rich and energetic final states (multi-jets + EW and QCD part. (W,Z, photon,b,t)). Flexible MC to be validated and tuned to control samples.

Accurate predictions (NLO,NNLO) for standard candles SM cross sections (with final state acceptance)

2. Identify a finite set of coarse models compatible with the excess(es). Model building skills. Inverse problem tools (Ex: OSET).

3. Look for "predicted excesses" in other channels. Simulation of any BSM signature: from models to events in an easy and fast way.

4. Refine

Université catholique

> Accurate predictions for cross sections of selected models (Ex: SUSY) to identify couplings. Accurate predictions for primary couplings (Ex: spectra calculators).

> 5. Perform more detailed studies to measure mass spectrum, quantum numbers, couplings. Accurate ME based description for final state distributions which keeps all the relevant information (Ex. decay chain with spin).

6. Refine

Off-shell effects, Matrix Element methods, Global fits (Ex: Sfitter)

Outline

- Matrix elements + Parton showers
- Matrix elements for BSM physics
- BSM at NLO
- Decay chains



SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, 12 Nov 2007



SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, 12 Nov 2007





SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, I2 Nov 2007

ME involving $q \rightarrow q$ g (or $g \rightarrow gg$) are strongly enhanced when they are close in the phase space:

$$\frac{1}{(p_q + p_g)^2} \simeq \frac{1}{2 \frac{E_q E_g (1 - \cos \theta)}{1 - z}}$$

Both **soft** and collinear **divergences**: very different nature!

Collinear factorization:

$$|M_{p+1}|^2 d\Phi_{p+1} \simeq |M_p|^2 d\Phi_p \frac{dt}{t} \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} P(z) dz d\phi$$

I.Allows for a parton shower (Markov process) evolution2.The evolution resums the dominant leading-log contributions3. By adding angular ordering the main quantum (interference) effects are also included

- General-purpose tools
- Always the first exp choice
- Complete exclusive description of the events: hard scattering, showering & hadronization, underlying event
- Reliable and well tuned tools.
- Significant and intense progress in the development of new showering algorithms with the final aim to go at NLO in QCD [Giele, Kosower, Skands, 2007; Krauss, Schumman, 2007]

most famous: PYTHIA, HERWIG recent addition: SHERPA

Two major limitations:

- Author's driven and limited library of processes in SM and some extensions including MSSM.
- Multi-parton processes are not well simulated.

Two major limitations:

- Author's driven and limited library of processes in SM and some extensions including MSSM.
- Multi-parton processes are not well simulated.



SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, 12 Nov 2007

Two major limitations:

- Author's driven and limited library of processes in SM and some extensions including MSSM.
- Multi-parton processes are not well simulated.



Two major limitations:

- Author's driven and limited library of processes in SM and some extensions including MSSM.
- Multi-parton processes are not well simulated.



Two major limitations:

- Author's driven and limited library of 2→2 processes in SM and some extensions including MSSM.
- Multi-parton processes are not well simulated.

The matrix element copernican revolution! [2001]

I. Outsource parton-level event generation to multipurpose automatic matrix element codes

2. Pass events to PS in a standard format (Les Houches)

3. Perform a ME/PS merging to obtain accurate inclusive multi-jet samples (CKKW or MLM)





_C



New generation of ME based MC's

Multipurpose MC's, matrix element based. Matrix element creation is automatic or semiautomatic at tree level. Matching (when available) performed with the parton shower to produce inclusive multi-jet samples. Some codes are also suitable for BSM physics.

Code	Comments
Alpgen	Extendable library of procs. SM only. Optimized for multi-particle events. ME/PS matching a la MLM.
CompHep/CalcHEP	User's driven. Several models available and easy to insert new ones. No ME/PS matching. Squared amplitudes.
HELAC	User's driven. SM only. ME/PS matching a la MLM.
MadGraph	User's driven.Web based. Several models available and easy to insert new ones. ME/PS matching a la MLM.
SHERPA	User's driven. Several models available. Full showering and hadronization. ME/PS matching a la CKKW.

Updates on Alpgen by Piccinini and on SHERPA by Schumann

Université catholique de louvain ME/PS merging

ME4BSM



ME&PS

Introduction

Université catholique de louvain

- I. parton-level description
- 2. fixed order calculation
- 3. quantum interference exact
- 4. valid when partons are hard and well separated
- 5. needed for multi-jet description



Chains

Conclusions



- I. hadron-level description
- 2. resums large logs

NLO

- 3. quantum interference through AA
- 4. valid when partons are collinear and/or soft
- 5. nedeed for realistic studies

Approaches are complementary! Two recipes available: CKKW and MLM

SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, I2 Nov 2007

NLO

Chains

Conclusions

G

ME4BSM

ME&PS



UCL Université catholique de Louvain

Introduction

PS alone vs matched samples



More on tt+jets comparisons and validation in Roberto Chierici's talk on Wed morning!

Université catholique de Louvain

Conclusions

C

Chains

Inclusive SUSY searches at the LHC



See Mangano's talk at SUSY07 for a detailed "anatomy" of these results

ME/PS merging in SUSY



ME/PS merging in SUSY



ME/PS merging in SUSY



gg + jets: inclusive sample validation plots



SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, 12 Nov 2007

UCL Université catholique de Louvain

ME4BSM NLO **Conclusions** Introduction ME&PS Chains _C Add-on for BSM Model Invent a model, renormalizable or not, SUSY, Little Higgs,

> See Hahn's and Duhr's talks tomorrow

Higgsless, GUT, Extra

dimensions (flat,

warped, universal,...)

Lagrangian

Feynman

with new physics. Write the Lagrangian and (now get) the Feynman Rules.

The particles content, the type of interactions and the analytic form of the couplings in the Feynman rules define the model at tree level.



23

Université catholique de lauvain ME4BSM NLO **Conclusions** Introduction ME&PS Chains Add-on for BSM Model Invent a model, renormalizable or not, with new physics. Write the Lagrangian SUSY, Little Higgs, Lagrangian and (now get) the Feynman Rules. Higgsless, GUT, Extra dimensions (flat, The particles content, the type of warped, universal,...) interactions and the analytic form of the couplings in the Feynman rules define Feynman the model at tree level. See Hahn's and Duhr's talks tomorrow Parameters Calculator. Given the "primary" couplings, all relevant FeynHiggs, ISAJET, quantities are calculated: masses, widths and the NMHDecay, values of the couplings in the Feynman rules. Calculator SOFTSUSY, SPHENO, Caution: tree-level relations have to be satisfied SUSPECT, SDECAY... to avoid gauge violations and/or wrong branching ratios.

Les Houches interface

Introduction ME&PS ME4BSM NLO Chains Conclusions MadGraph/Sherpa/Whizard SUSY comparison



SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, 12 Nov 2007

Université catholique de Louvain

24

C

ME4BSM NLO Introduction ME&PS Chains Conclusions MadGraph/Sherpa/Whizard SUSY comparison

Université catholique de Louvain



24

C



SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, 12 Nov 2007

NLO cross sections



NLO cross sections



NLO cross sections

Include higher order terms int the fixed-order calculations $\hat{\sigma}_{ab \to X} = \sigma_0 + \alpha_S \sigma_1 + \alpha_S^2 \sigma_2 + \dots$



Université catholique de Louvain











Example: SUSY Predictions at NLO

[Beenakker, Höpker, Krämer, Spira, Plehn, Zerwas]

Prospino

Example of an inclusive "MC integrator".

Total cross sections at NLO. Also available for LeptoQuark production. Useful for normalization and error estimates (scales, PDF's).

Necessary for precise SUSY parameter extraction from cross section measurements.

However, neither events nor distributions produced. Need to rely on tree-level based simulations.



Outlook for MC's at NLO

An independent new trend is to combine NLO accuracy in normalization and shapes of hard radiation with parton shower.

MC@NLO [Frixione, Nason, Webber, 2003] is the standard code. Quick progress and many developments in this field. For instance POWHEG [Frixione, Nason, Oleari, 2007].

"Best" tools when NLO calculation is available (i.e. low jet multiplicity).



Université catholique de Louvain

Outlook for MC's at NLO

An independent new trend is to combine NLO accuracy in normalization and shapes of hard radiation with parton shower.

MC@NLO [Frixione, Nason, Webber, 2003] is the standard code. Quick progress and many developments in this field. For instance POWHEG [Frixione, Nason, Oleari, 2007].

"Best" tools when NLO calculation is available (i.e. low jet multiplicity).

Université catholique de Louvain



Decay Chains



Decay Chains



SUSY vs UED

New heavy states tend to decay into lower mass new states, leading to long decay chains, up to the lightest neutral particle (stable is R-parity like is conserved). Information on the mass of the intermediate states can be obtained through the study of kinemetical edges. The shape of the edges can give information on the spin of the intermediate states. Compare for instance SUSY and UED:



Beware that most of the MC's make some of or all the following simplifications:

I. production and decay are factorized.
2. Spin is ignored.
3. Chains proceed only through I→2 decays.
4. The narrow width approximation is employed.
5. Non-resonant diagrams are ignored.

Flexible and powerful ME tools are needed to check and in case go beyond the above approximations!

SUSY GDR, Bruxelles, 12 Nov 2007

UCL Université catholique de louvein

Decay chains in madgraph

gg >(go>u~(ul > u n1))(go>b~(bl>(b(n2>mu+(mul- >mu- n1)))))



In this case:

I. Full matrix element is obtained which includes correlations
between production and decays.
2. Spin of the intermediate states is kept.

3. One can go beyond $I \rightarrow 2$ decays.

4. Resonances have BW.

5. Non-resonant contributions can be systematically included only where relevant.

Example simplification: the process can exactly factorized in

gg >(go>u~ul)(go>b~b1)

where the squarks can be decayed at the event level, for example by BRIDGE [Maede and Reece, 2007]

```
ul > u n1
```

```
b1 > b(n2>mu+(mul->mu-n1))
```

31

[]. Alwall, T. Stelzer]

Breakdown of the NW approximation

[Berdine, Kaur, Rainwater, PRL99, 2007]



Non trivial behaviour which comes from the t-channel topology of the diagram. Threshold effects when the gluino mass is close to the decay product mass (squark).

Conclusions

- Making discoveries at the LHC (most probably) won't be easy.
- SM backgrounds and in particular those coming from QCD multi-jet processes are large and their detailed understanding will be needed.
- Remarkable progress in developing MC tools since the "2001 Revolution". A new generation of codes to perform physics simulation is now available.
- These new tools can address basically all the needs from th and exp point of view (both top-down and bottom-up) for studying any Lagrangian based model at the LHC, including the good old SUSY.
- In my view, one of the most important outcomes of this effort is the emergence of a new framework where model builders, phenomenologists, MC developers and experimentalists collaborate in an effective way.

Conclusions

- Making discoveries at the LHC (most probably) won't be easy.
- SM backgrounds and in particular those coming from QCD multi-jet processes are large and their detailed understanding will be needed.
- Remarkable progress in developing MC tools since the "2001 Revolution". A new generation of codes to perform physics simulation is now available.
- These new tools can address basically all the needs from th and exp point of view (both top-down and bottom-up) for studying any Lagrangian based model at the LHC, including the good old SUSY.
- In my view, one of the most important outcomes of this effort is the emergence of a new framework where model builders, phenomenologists, MC developers and experimentalists collaborate in an effective way.

Eagerly waiting for data...