

The 2011 European School of High-Energy Physics

Cheile Gradistei Romania 7-20 September 2011

# BASICS OF QCD FOR THE LHC

LECTURE I

## Fabio Maltoni

Center for Particle Physics and Phenomenology (CP3) Université Catholique de Louvain

### CLAIMS AND AIMS

LHC is live and kicking!!!!

There has been a number of key theoretical results recently in the quest of achieving the best possible predictions and description of events at the LHC.

Perturbative QCD applications to LHC physics in conjunction with Monte Carlo developments are VERY active lines of theoretical research in particle phenomenology.

> In fact, new dimensions have been added to Theory ⇔ Experiment interactions

### CLAIMS AND AIMS

Five lectures:

- I. Intro and QCD fundamentals
- 2. QCD in the final state
- 3. QCD in the initial state

4. From accurate QCD to useful QCD

5. Advanced QCD with applications at the LHC

3

apps

### CLAIMS AND AIMS

- perspective: the big picture
- concepts: QCD from high-Q<sup>2</sup> to low-Q<sup>2</sup>, asymptotic freedom, infrared safety, factorization
- tools & techniques: Fixed Order (FO) computations, Parton showers, Monte Carlo's (MC)
- recent progress: merging MC's with FO, new jet algorithms
- sample applications at the LHC: Drell-Yan, Higgs, Jets, BSM,...

## CLAIMS AND (YOUR) AIMS



Mathematica notebooks on a "simple" NLO calculation and other exercises on QCD applications to LHC phenomenology available on the MadGraph Wiki.

http://cp3wks05.fynu.ucl.ac.be/twiki/bin/view/Physics/CernSchool2011

### MINIMAL REFERENCES

• Ellis, Stirling and Webber: The Pink Book

 Excellent lectures on the archive by M. Mangano, P. Nason, and more recently by G. Salam, P. Skands.



### **QCD**: THE FUNDAMENTALS

I. QCD is a good theory for strong interactions: facts

- 2. From QED to QCD: the importance of color
- 3. Renormalization group and asymptotic freedom

7



### STRONG INTERACTIONS

Strong interactions are characterized at moderate energies by a single<sup>\*</sup> dimensionful scale,  $\Lambda_s$ , of few hundreds of MeV:

 $\sigma_{h} \approx 1/\Lambda_{s}^{2} \approx 10 \text{ mb}$  $\Gamma_{h} \approx \Lambda_{s}$  $R \approx 1/\Lambda_{s} \approx 1 \text{ fm}$ 

No hint to the presence of a small parameter! Very hard to understand and many attempts...

<sup>\*</sup>neglecting quark masses..!!!

### STRONG INTERACTIONS

Nowadays we have a satisfactory model of strong interactions based on a non-abelian gauge theory, QCD.

Why is QCD a good theory?

- I. Hadron spectrum
- 2. Scaling
- 3. QCD: a consistent QFT
- 4. Low energy symmetries
- 5. MUCH more....

### HADRON SPECTRUM

- Hadrons are made up of spin 1/2 quarks, of different flavors (d,u,s,c,b,[t])
- Each flavor comes in three colors, thus quarks carry a flavor and and color index

 $\psi_i^{(f)}$ 

• The SU(3) symmetry acting on color is exact:

$$\psi_i \to \sum_k U_{ik} \psi_k$$

$$\sum_{k} \psi_{k}^{*} \psi_{k} \qquad \longleftarrow \text{Mesons}$$

$$\sum_{k}^{k} \epsilon^{ijk} \psi_{i} \psi_{j} \psi_{k} \qquad \longleftarrow \text{Baryons}$$

$$ijk$$

### HADRON SPECTRUM

Note that physical states are classified in multiplets of the FLAVOR SU(3)<sub>f</sub> group!

$$3_f \otimes \bar{3}_f = 8_f \oplus 1_f$$



### HADRON SPECTRUM

Note that physical states are classified in multiplets of the FLAVOR  $SU(3)_f$  group!



We need an extra quantum number (color) to have the  $\Delta^{++}$  with similar properties to the  $\Sigma^0$ . All particles in the multiplet have symmetric spin,flavour and spatial wave-function. Check that  $n_q - n_{qbar} = n \times N_c$ , with n integer.

#### HOW MANY COLORS?



2011 School of High-Energy Physics

Fabio Maltoni

#### SCALING



 $s = (P + k)^2 \quad \text{cms energy}^2$   $Q^2 = -(k - k')^2 \quad \text{momentum transfer}^2$   $x = Q^2/2(P \cdot q) \quad \text{scaling variable}$   $\nu = (P \cdot q)/M = E - E' \quad \text{energy loss}$   $y = (P \cdot q)/(P \cdot k) = 1 - E'/E \quad \text{rel. energy loss}$   $W^2 = (P + q)^2 = M^2 + \frac{1 - x}{x}Q^2 \quad \text{recoil mass}$ 

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{elastic}}}{dq^2} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dq^2}\right)_{\text{point}} \cdot F_{\text{elastic}}^2(q^2)\,\delta(1-x)\,dx$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{inelastic}}}{dq^2} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dq^2}\right)_{\text{point}} \cdot F_{\text{inelastic}}^2(q^2, x) \, dx$$

What should we expect for  $F(q^2,x)$ ?

### SCALING

Two plausible and one crazy scenarios for the  $|q^2| \rightarrow \infty$  (Bjorken) limit:

I.Smooth electric charge distribution:

$$F^2_{elastic}(q^2) \sim F^2_{inelastic}(q^2) << I$$

i.e., external probe penetrates the proton as knife through the butter!

2. Tightly bound point charges inside the proton:

 $F^2_{elastic}(q^2) \sim I \text{ and } F^2_{inelastic}(q^2) << I$ 

i.e., quarks get hit as single particles, but momentum is immediately redistributed as they are tightly bound together (confinement) and cannot fly away.

3. And now the crazy one:

$$F^{2}_{elastic}(q^{2}) \le I$$
 and  $F^{2}_{inelastic}(q^{2}) \sim I$ 

i.e., there are points (quarks!) inside the protons, however the hit quark behaves as a free particle that flies away without feeling or caring about confinement!!!

(free quarks)

(bound quarks)

(classical picture)

### SCALING



 $\frac{d^2 \sigma^{\rm EXP}}{dxdy} \sim \frac{1}{Q^2}$ 

Remarkable!!! Pure dimensional analysis! The right hand side does not depend on  $\Lambda_S$ ! This is the same behaviour one may find in a renormalizable theory like in QED. Other stunning example is again e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow$  hadrons.

This motivated the search for a weakly-coupled theory at high energy!

## **ASYMPTOTIC FREEDOM**

Among QFT theories in 4 dimension only the non-Abelian gauge theories are "asymptotically free".

It becomes then natural to promote the global color SU(3) symmetry into a local symmetry where color is a charge.

This also hints to the possibility that the color neutrality of the hadrons could have a dynamical origin



In renormalizable QFT's scale invariance is broken by the renormalization procedure and couplings depend logarithmically on scales.

### THE QCD LAGRANGIAN

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{a} F_{a}^{\mu\nu} \\ Gauge \\ Fields \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{f} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\psi}_{i}^{(f)} (i\partial - m_{f}) \psi_{i}^{(f)} \\ Matter \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\psi}_{i}^{(f)} (g_{s} t_{ij}^{a} \mathcal{A}_{a}) \psi_{j}^{(f)} \\ Interaction \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[t^{a}, t^{b}] = if^{abc}t^{c}$$
$$\operatorname{tr}(t^{a}t^{b}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}$$

→ Normalization

Very similar to the QED Lagrangian.. we'll see in a moment where the differences come from!

#### THE SYMMETRIES OF THE QCD LAGRANGIAN

Now we know that strong interacting physical states have very good symmetry properties like the isospin symmetry: particles in the same multiplets (n,p) or  $(\pi^+,\pi^-,\pi^0)$  have nearly the same mass. Are these symmetries accounted for?

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_F &= \sum_{f} \bar{\psi}_i^{(f)} \left[ (i\partial - m_f) \delta_{ij} - g_s t^a_{ij} \mathcal{A}_a \right] \psi_j^{(f)} \\ \psi^{(f)} &\to \sum_{f'} U^{ff'} \psi^{(f')} \qquad \text{Isospin transformation acts only f=u,d.} \end{split}$$

It is a simple EXERCISE to show that the lagrangian is invariant if  $m_u=m_d$  or  $m_u$ ,  $m_d \rightarrow 0$ . It is the second case that is more appealing. If the masses are close to zero the QCD lagrangian is MORE symmetric:

### CHIRAL SYMMETRY

#### THE SYMMETRIES OF THE QCD LAGRANGIAN

$$\mathcal{L}_{F} = \sum_{f} \left\{ \bar{\psi}_{L}^{(f)} \left( i\partial \!\!\!/ - g_{s} t^{a} A_{a} \right) \psi_{L}^{(f)} + \bar{\psi}_{R}^{(f)} \left( i\partial \!\!\!/ - g_{s} t^{a} A_{a} \right) \psi_{R}^{(f)} \right\} \qquad \psi_{L} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \psi$$
$$- \sum_{f} m_{f} \left( \left\{ \bar{\psi}_{R}^{(f)} \psi_{L}^{(f)} + \bar{\psi}_{L}^{(f)} \psi_{R}^{(f)} \right\} \right\} \qquad \psi_{R} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_{5}) \psi$$

Do these symmetries have counterpart in the real world?

$$\psi_L^{(f)} \to e^{i\phi_L} \sum_{f'} U_L^{ff'} \psi_L^{(f')}$$
$$\psi_R^{(f)} \to e^{i\phi_R} \sum_{f'} U_R^{ff'} \psi_R^{(f')}$$

-The vector subgroup is realized in nature as the isospin -The corresponding U(1) is the baryon number conservation -The axial  $U_A(1)$  is not there due the axial anomaly -The remaining axial tranformations are spontaneaously broken and the goldstone bosons are the pions.

## $SU_L(N) \times SU_R(N) \times U_L(1) \times U_R(1)$

This is amazing! Without knowning anything about the dynamics of confinement we correctly describe isospin, the small mass of the pions, the scattering properties of pions, and many other features.

## WHY DO WE BELIEVE QCD IS A GOOD THEORY OF STRONG INTERACTIONS?

- QCD is a non-abelian gauge theory, is renormalizable, is asymptotically free, is a one-parameter theory [Once you measure  $\alpha_s$  (and the quark masses) you know everything fundamental about (perturbative) QCD].
- It explains the low energy properties of the hadrons, justifies the observed spectrum and catch the most important dynamical properties.
- It explains scaling (and BTW anything else we have seen up to now!!) at high energies.
- It leaves EW interaction in place since the SU(3) commutes with SU(2) × U(1). There is no mixing and there are no enhancements of parity violating effect or flavor changing currents.

## ok, then. Are we done?

#### WHY DO MANY PEOPLE CARE ABOUT QCD?

At "low" energy:

I. QCD Thermodynamics with application to cosmology, astrophysics , nuclei.



μ

#### WHY DO MANY PEOPLE CARE ABOUT QCD?

At "low" energy:

I. QCD Thermodynamics with application to cosmology, astrophysics , nuclei.

2. Confinement still to be proved 10<sup>6</sup>\$ (millenium) prize by the Clay Mathematics Institute.

Yang-Mills Existence and Mass Gap. Prove that for any compact simple gauge group G, a non-trivial quantum Yang-Mills theory exists on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and has a mass gap  $\Delta > 0$ . Existence includes establishing axiomatic properties at least as strong as those cited in [45, 35].

#### WHY DO MANY PEOPLE CARE ABOUT QCD?

At ''low'' energy:

I. QCD Thermodynamics with application to cosmology, astrophysics , nuclei.

2. Confinement still to be proved 10<sup>6</sup>\$ (millenium) prize by the Clay Mathematics Institute.

3. Measurement of quark masses, mixings and CP violation parameters essential to understand the Flavor structure of the SM. Requires accurate predictions of non-perturbative form factors and matrix elements. Need for lattice...



#### WHY DO WE CARE ABOUT QCD?

At high energy:

QCD is a necessary tool to decode most hints that Nature is giving us on the fundamental issues!

\*Measurement of  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{S}$ ,  $\sin^2\boldsymbol{\theta}_{W}$  give  $\alpha^{-1}$  information on possible patterns of unification.

\*Measurements and discoveries at hadron colliders need accurate predictions for QCD backgrounds!

BTW, is this really true?



#### **DISCOVERIES AT HADRON COLLIDERS**



shape

#### rate







#### pp→H→W+W-



"easy"

Background directly measured from data. TH needed only for parameter extraction (Normalization, acceptance,...)

## hard

Background shapes needed. Flexible MC for both signal and backgroud tuned and validated with data.

## very hard

Background normalization and shapes known very well. Interplay with the best theoretical predictions (via MC) and data.

## B

#### MOTIVATIONS FOR QCD PREDICTIONS

- Accurate and experimental friendly predictions for collider physics range from being very useful to strictly necessary.
- Confidence on possible excesses, evidences and eventually discoveries builds upon an intense (and often non-linear) process of description/prediction of data via MC's.
- •Measurements and exclusions always rely on accurate predictions.
- Predictions for both SM and BSM on the same ground.

## no QCD $\Rightarrow$ no PARTY !

### **QCD**: THE FUNDAMENTALS

- I. QCD is a good theory for strong interactions: facts
- 2. From QED to QCD: the importance of color
- 3. Renormalization group and asymptotic freedom

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi} (i\partial \!\!\!/ - m) \psi - eQ \bar{\psi} \mathcal{A} \psi$$

where 
$$F_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$$



$$= \frac{i}{\not p - m + i\epsilon}$$
$$= \frac{-ig_{\mu\nu}}{p^2 + i\epsilon}$$
$$= -ie\gamma_{\mu}Q$$

#### 2011 School of High-Energy Physics

#### Fabio Maltoni

We want to focus on how gauge invariance is realized in practice. Let's start with the computation of a simple proces  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ . There are two diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{k}_{1}, \mathbf{\mu} \\ \mathbf{k}_{2}, \mathbf{v} \end{array} = D_{1} + D_{2}$$

Gauge invariance requires that:

$$\epsilon_1^{*\mu}k_2^{\nu}\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_2^{*\nu}k_1^{\mu}\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu}k_{1}^{*\mu}\epsilon_{2}^{*\nu} &= D_{1} + D_{2} = e^{2} \left( \bar{v}(\bar{q}) \not\!\!\!\!/_{2} \frac{1}{\not\!\!\!\!/_{1} - \not\!\!\!\!/_{1}} (\not\!\!\!\!/_{1} - \not\!\!\!\!/_{2}) u(q) + \bar{v}(\bar{q}) (\not\!\!\!\!/_{1} - \not\!\!\!\!/_{2}) \frac{1}{\not\!\!\!\!/_{1} - \not\!\!\!\!/_{2}} \not\!\!\!\!/_{2} u(q) \right) \\ &= -\bar{v}(\bar{q}) \not\!\!\!/_{2} u(q) + \bar{v}(\bar{q}) \not\!\!\!/_{2} u(q) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Only the sum of the two diagrams is gauge invariant. For the amplitude to be gauge invariant it is enough that one of the polarizations is longitudinal. The state of the other gauge boson is irrelevant.

Let's try now to generalize what we have done for SU(3). In this case we take the (anti-) quarks to be in the (anti-)fundamental representation of SU(3), 3 and 3<sup>\*</sup>. Then the current is in a  $3 \otimes 3^* = 1 \oplus 8$ . The singlet is like a photon, so we identify the gluon with the octet and generalize the QED vertex to :

with 
$$[t^a,t^b]=if^{abc}t^c$$

 $-ig_s t^a_{ij}\gamma^\mu$ 

So now let's calculate  $qq \rightarrow gg$  and we obtain

$$\frac{i}{g_s^2} M_g \equiv (t^b t^a)_{ij} D_1 + (t^a t^b)_{ij} D_2$$
$$M_g = (t^a t^b)_{ij} M_\gamma - g^2 f^{abc} t^c_{ij} D_2$$



To satisfy gauge invariance we still need:

$$k_1^{\mu} \epsilon_2^{\nu} M_g^{\mu,\nu} = k_2^{\nu} \epsilon_1^{\mu} M_g^{\mu,\nu} = 0.$$

But in this case one piece is left out

$$k_{1\mu}M_{g}^{\mu} = i(-g_{s}f^{abc}\epsilon_{2}^{\mu})(-ig_{s}t_{ij}^{c}\bar{v}_{i}(\bar{q})\gamma_{\mu}u_{i}(q))$$

We indeed see that we interpret as the normal vertex times a new 3 gluon vertex:



Fabio Maltoni



 $-g_s f^{abc} V_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ 

#### 2011 School of High-Energy Physics



$$-ig_s^2 D_3 = \left(-ig_s t^a_{ij} \bar{v}_i(\bar{q})\gamma^\mu u_j(q)\right) \times \left(\frac{-i}{p^2}\right) \times$$

 $\left(-gf^{abc}V_{\mu\nu\rho}(-p,k_1,k_2)\epsilon_1^{\nu}(k_1)\epsilon_2^{\rho}(k_2)\right)$ 

How do we write down the Lorentz part for this new interaction? We can impose

I. Lorentz invariance : only structure of the type  $g_{\mu\nu} p_{\rho}$  are allowed

2. fully anti-symmetry : only structure of the type remain  $g_{\mu 1 \mu 2}$   $(k_1)_{\mu 3}$  are allowed...

3. dimensional analysis : only one power of the momentum.

that uniquely constrain the form of the vertex:

 $V_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = V_0 \left[ (p_1 - p_2)_{\mu_3} g_{\mu_1\mu_2} + (p_2 - p_3)_{\mu_1} g_{\mu_2\mu_3} + (p_3 - p_1)_{\mu_2} g_{\mu_3\mu_1} \right]$ 

With the above expression we obtain a contribution to the gauge variation:

$$k_1 \cdot D_3 = g^2 f^{abc} t^c V_0 \left[ \bar{v}(\bar{q}) \not \in_2 u(q) - \frac{k_2 \cdot \epsilon_2}{2k_1 \cdot k_2} \bar{v}(\bar{q}) \not \in_1 u(q) \right]$$

The first term cancels the gauge variation of  $D_1$ +  $D_2$  if  $V_0$ =1, the second term is zero IFF the other gluon is physical!!

One can derive the form of the four-gluon vertex using the same heuristic method.

### THE QCD LAGRANGIAN

By direct inspection and by using the form non-abelian covariant derivation, we can check that indeed non-abelian gauge symmetry implies self-interactions. This is not surprising since the gluon itself is charged (In QED the photon is not!)



#### THE FEYNMAN RULES OF QCD



2011 School of High-Energy Physics

Fabio Maltoni

### FROM QED TO QCD: PHYSICAL STATES

In QED, due to abelian gauge invariance, one can sum over the polarization of the external photons using:



I In fact the longitudinal and time-like component cancel each other, no matter what the choice for  $\epsilon_2$  is. The production of any number of unphysical photons vanishes.

In QCD this would give a wrong result!!

We can write the sum over the polarization in a convenient form using the vector  $k=(k_0, 0, 0, -k_0)$ .

$$\sum_{phys\ pol} \epsilon_i^{\mu} \epsilon_i^{*\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_{\mu}\bar{k}_{\nu} + k_{\nu}\bar{k}_{\mu}}{k\cdot\bar{k}}$$

For gluons the situation is different, since  $k_1 \cdot M \sim \epsilon_2 \cdot k_2$ . So the production of two unphysical gluons is not zero!!

### Ċ

### FROM QED TO QCD: PHYSICAL STATES

In the case of non-Abelian theories it is therefore important to restrict the sum over polarizations (and the off-shell propagators) to the physical degrees of freedom.

Alternatively, one has to undertake a formal study of the implications of gauge-fixing in non-physical gauges. The outcome of this approach is the appearance of two color-octet scalar degrees of freedom that have the peculiar property that behave like fermions.

Ghost couple only to gluons and appear in internal loops and as external states (in place of two gluons). Since they break the spin-statistics theorem their contribution can be negative, which is what is require to cancel the the non-physical dof in the general case.

Adding the ghost contribution gives

$$\Rightarrow - \left| ig_s^2 f^{abc} t^a \frac{1}{2k_1 \cdot k_2} \bar{v}(\bar{q}) \not k_1 u(q) \right|^2$$

which exactly cancels the non-physical polarization in a covariant gauge.



#### THE COLOR ALGEBRA

$$[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c$$

 $[F^a, F^b] = if^{abc}F^c$ 





#### 

**Problem**: Show that the one-gluon exchange between quark-antiquark pair can be attractive or repulsive. Calculate the relative strength.

Solution: a q qb pair can be in a singlet state (photon) or in octet (gluon) :  $3 \otimes 3 = 1 \oplus 8$ 

$$\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{lj} - \frac{1}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\delta_{lk})\delta_{ki} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{lj}(N_c - \frac{1}{N_c}) = C_F\delta_{lj}$$
  
>0, attractive  
$$\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{lj} - \frac{1}{N_c}\delta_{ij}\delta_{lk})t^a_{ki} = -\frac{1}{2N_c}t^a_{lj}$$
  
<0, repulsive

#### **QUARKONIUM STATES**



Very sharp peaks => small widths (~ 100 KeV) compared to hadronic resonances (100 MeV) => very long lived states. QCD is "weak" at scales >>  $\Lambda$ QCD (asymptotic freedom), non-relativistic bound states are formed like positronium!

The QCD-Coulomb attractive potential is like:  $V(r)\simeq -C_F rac{lpha_S(1/r)}{r}$ 

#### COLOR ALGEBRA: 'T HOOFT DOUBLE LINE



This formulation leads to a graphical representation of the simplifications occuring in the large Nc limit, even though it is exactly equivalent to the usual one.

In the large Nc limit, a gluon behaves as a quark-antiquark pair. In addition it behaves classically, in the sense that quantum interference, which are effects of order I/Nc<sup>2</sup> are neglected. Many QCD algorithms and codes (such a the parton showers) are based on this picture.

#### EXAMPLE: VBF FUSION





#### Facts:

I. Important channel for light Higgs both for discovery and measurement

2. Color singlet exchange in the t-channel

3. Characteristic signature: forward-backward jets + RAPIDITY GAP

4. QCD production is a background to precise measurements of couplings

#### **EXAMPLE: VBF FUSION**







### Third jet distribution

B

#### EXAMPLE: VBF FUSION

Consider VBF: at LO there is no exchange of color between the quark lines:



Also at NLO there is no color exchange! With one little exception.... At NNLO exchange is possible but it suppressed by I/Nc<sup>2</sup>

### **QCD**: THE FUNDAMENTALS

- I. QCD is a good theory for strong interactions: facts
- 2. From QED to QCD: the importance of color
- 3. Renormalization group and asymptotic freedom

Let us consider the process:

 $e^-e^+ \rightarrow$  hadrons and for a  $Q^2 >> \Lambda_S$ .

At this pont (though we will!) we don't have an idea how to calculate the details of such a process.

So let's take the most inclusive approach ever: we just want to count how many events with hadrons in the final state there are wrt to a pair of muons.

#### Zeroth Level: $e^+ e^- \rightarrow qq$

$$R_0 = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \to \mu^+\mu^-)} = N_c \sum_f Q_f^2$$

Very simple exercise. The calculation is exactly the same as for the  $\mu$ + $\mu$ -.





Let us consider the process:

 $e^-e^+ \rightarrow$  hadrons and for a  $Q^2 >> \Lambda_S$ .

At this pont (though we will!) we don't have an idea how to calculate the details of such a process.

So let's take the most inclusive approach ever: we just want to count how many events with hadrons in the final state there are wrt to a pair of muons.

#### First improvement: $e^+ e^- \rightarrow qq$ at NLO

Already a much more difficult calculation! There are real and virtual contributions. There are:

\* UV divergences coming from loops

\* IR divergences coming from loops and real diagrams. Ignore the IR for the moment (they cancel anyway) We need some kind of trick to regulate the divergences. Like dimensional regularization or a cutoff M. At the end the result is VERY SIMPLE:



No renormalization is needed! Electric charge is left untouched by strong interactions!

2011 School of High-Energy Physics

Let us consider the process:

 $e^-e^+ \rightarrow$  hadrons and for a  $Q^2 >> \Lambda_S$ .

At this pont (though we will!) we don't have an idea how to calculate the details of such a process.

So let's take the most inclusive approach ever: we just want to count how many events with hadrons in the final state there are wrt to a pair of muons.

#### Second improvement: e+ e- → qq at NNLO Extremely difficult calculation! Something new happens:

$$R_2 = R_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} + \left[ c + \pi b_0 \log \frac{M^2}{Q^2} \right] \left( \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} \right)^2 \right)$$

The result is explicitly dependent on the arbitrary cutoff scale. We need to perform normalization of the coupling and since QCD is renormalizable we are garanteed that this fixes all the UV problems at this order.



(1) 
$$R_2^{\text{ren}}(\alpha_S(\mu), \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}) = R_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_S(\mu)}{\pi} + \left[ c + \pi b_0 \log \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \right] \left( \frac{\alpha_S(\mu)}{\pi} \right)^2 \right)$$
  
(2)  $\alpha_S(\mu) = \alpha_S + b_0 \log \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \alpha_S^2$   $b_0 = \frac{11N_c - 2n_f}{12\pi} > 0$ 

Comments:

I. Now  $R_2$  is finite but depends on an arbitrary scale  $\mu$ , directly and through  $\alpha_s$ . We had to introduce  $\mu$  because of the presence of M.

2. Renormalizability garantes than any physical quantity can be made finite with the SAME substitution. If a quantity at LO is  $A\alpha_s^N$  then the UV divergence will be N A b<sub>0</sub> log M<sup>2</sup>  $\alpha_s^{N+1}$ .

3. R is a physical quantity and therefore cannot depend on the arbitrary scale  $\mu$ !! One can show that at order by order:

$$\mu^2 \frac{d}{d\mu^2} R^{\text{ren}} = 0 \Rightarrow R^{\text{ren}}(\alpha_S(\mu), \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}) = R^{\text{ren}}(\alpha_S(Q), 1)$$

which is obviously verified by Eq. (1). Choosing  $\mu \approx Q$  the logs …are resummed!

2011 School of High-Energy Physics

(2) 
$$\alpha_S(\mu) = \alpha_S + b_0 \log \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \alpha_S^2$$
  $b_0 = \frac{11N_c - 2n_f}{12\pi} > 0$ 

4. From (b) one finds that:

$$\beta(\alpha_S) \equiv \mu^2 \frac{\partial \alpha_S}{\partial \mu^2} = -b_0 \alpha_S^2 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_S(\mu) = \frac{1}{b_0 \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}}$$

This gives the running of  $\alpha_s$ . Since  $b_0 > 0$ , this expression make sense for all scale  $\mu > \Lambda$ . In general one has:

$$\frac{d\alpha_S(\mu)}{d\log\mu^2} = -b_0\alpha_S^2(\mu) - b_1\alpha_S^3(\mu) - b_2\alpha_S^4(\mu) + \dots$$

where all  $b_i$  are finite (renormalization!). At present we know the  $b_i$  up to  $b_3$  (4 loop calculation!!).  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are renormalization scheme independent. Note that the expression for  $\alpha_s(\mu)$  changes accordingly to the loop order. At two loops we have:

$$\alpha_S(\mu) = \frac{1}{b_0 \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{b_1}{b_0^2} \frac{\log \log \mu^2 / \Lambda^2}{\log \mu^2 / \Lambda^2} \right]$$

#### WHY IS THE BETA FUNCTION NEGATIVE IN QCD?



(a)

Roughly speaking, quark loop diagram (a) contributes a negative  $N_f$  term in  $b_0$ , while the gluon loop, diagram (b) gives a positive contribution proportional to the number of colors  $N_c$ , which is dominant and make the overall beta function negative.

(b)

#### WHY IS THE BETA FUNCTION NEGATIVE IN QCD?



(a) Roughly speaking, quark loop diagram (a) contributes loop, diagram (b) gives a positive contribution propor is dominant and make the overall beta function negativ

$$b_0 = \frac{11N_c - 2n_f}{12\pi} > 0 \implies$$
  

$$b_0 = -\frac{n_f}{3\pi} < 0 \implies$$
  

$$\alpha_{EM}(\mu) = \frac{1}{b_0 \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_{\text{QED}}^2}}$$



#### 2011 School of High-Energy Physics

#### Fabio Maltoni



#### WHY IS THE BETA FUNCTION NEGATIVE IN QCD?





#### Fabio Maltoni

DODOD

Given

$$\alpha_S(\mu) = \frac{1}{b_0 \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}} \qquad b_0 = \frac{11N_c - 2n_f}{12\pi}$$

It is tempting to use identify  $\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda_s$ =300 MeV and see what we get for LEP I

$$R(M_Z) = R_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_S(M_Z)}{\pi} \right) = R_0 (1 + 0.046)$$

which is in very reasonable agreement with LEP.

This example is very sloppy since it does not take into account heavy flavor thresholds, higher order effects, and so on. However it is important to stress that had we measured 8% effect at LEP I we would have extracted  $\Lambda$ = 5 GeV, a totally unacceptable value...

#### $\alpha_s$ : Experimental results



leading to very consistent results once evolved to the same reference scale,  $M_{Z}$ .

100

10

Q [GeV]

#### SCALE DEPENDENCE

$$R_2^{\text{ren}}(\alpha_S(\boldsymbol{\mu}), \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}{Q^2}) = R_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_S(\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\pi} + \left[ c + \pi b_0 \log \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^2}{Q^2} \right] \left( \frac{\alpha_S(\boldsymbol{\mu})}{\pi} \right)^2 \right)$$

As we said, at all orders physical quantities do not depend on the choice of the renormalization scale. At fixed order, however, there is a residual dependence due to the non-cancellation of the higher order logs:

$$\frac{d}{d\log\mu}\sum_{n=1}^{N}c_n(\mu)\alpha_S^n(\mu)\sim \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_S^n(\mu)^{N+1}(\mu)\right)$$

So possible (related) questions are:

\* Is there a systematic procedure to estimate the residual uncertainty in the theoretical prediction?

\* Is it possible to identify a scale corresponding to our best guess for the theoretical prediction?

BTW: The above argument proves that the more we work the better a prediction becomes!

Cross section for  $e+e- \rightarrow$  hadrons:

$$\sigma_{tot} = \frac{12\pi\alpha^2}{s} \left(\sum_q q_f^2\right) (1+\Delta)$$

Let's take our best TH prediction

$$\Delta(\mu) = \frac{\alpha_S(\mu)}{\pi} + [1.41 + 1.92\log(\mu^2/s)] \left(\frac{\alpha_S(\mu)}{\pi}\right)^2$$
$$= [-12.8 + 7.82\log(\mu^2/s) + 3.67\log^2(\mu^2/s)] \left(\frac{\alpha_S(\mu)}{\pi}\right)^3$$

Take  $\alpha s(M_z) = 0.117$ ,  $\sqrt{s} = 34$  GeV, 5 flavors and let's plot  $\Delta(\mu)$  as function of p where  $\mu = 2^p \sqrt{s}$ .



 $\Delta_{\text{PMS}} = \Delta(\mu_0)$  where at  $\mu_0 \, d\Delta/d\mu = 0$ 

and error band  $p \in [1/2,2]$ 

Improvement of a factor of two from LO to NLO! How good is our error estimate? Principle of mimimal sensitivity!

### What happens at $\alpha$ s<sup>3</sup>?



P

What happens at  $\alpha$ s<sup>3</sup>?



N=3 less scale dependent. Two places where  $\mu$  is stationary. Take the average, then the previous estimate was sligthly off.

2011 School of High-Energy Physics

Fabio Maltoni

#### Bottom line

There is no theorem that states the right 95% confidence interval for the uncertainty associated to the scale dependence of a theoretical predictions.

There are however many recipes available, where educated guesses (meaning physical). For example the so-called BLM choice.

In hadron-hadron collisions things are even more complicated due to the presence of another scale, the factorization scale, and in general also on a multi-scale processes...



#### SUMMARY

- We have given evidence of why we think QCD is a good theory: hadron spectrum, scaling, QCD is a renormalizable and asymptotically free QFT, low energy (broken) symmetries.
- 2. We have seen how gauge invariance is realized in QCD starting from QED.
- 3. We have illustrated with an example the use of the renormalization group and the appearance of asymptotic freedom.