Validation of the MadAnalysis 5 implementation of ATLAS-SUSY-16-07

Guillaume Chalons, Humberto Reyes-Gonzalez (LPSC Grenoble) email: chalons@lpsc.in2p3.fr, gonzalez@lpsc.in2p3.fr

September 3, 2018

Abstract

In this note we summarise our validation of the ATLAS search for squarks and gluinos in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum using 36 fb^{-1} at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$.

1 Description of the analysis

In this note we describe the validation of the implementation in MadAnalysis5 (MA5) framework [1–3] of the ATLAS 2 to 6 jets+Missing Transverse Energy (MET) analysis presented in [4]. We have used the version MA5 1.6 jointly with the standard Delphes3 program [5] inside the MA5 framework for detector simulation. For validation we compare our results againts three benchmarks provided by ATLAS available on [4] under the section "data points". The hard scattering events have been generated with the MadGraph5_aMC@NLO MonteCarlo event simulator [6] (v2.6.1), then PYTHIA 8 (v8.230) for matching those events to parton shower and hadronisation [7]. The delphes card used for the detector simulation is the same as the one used for the recasting of ATLAS-SUSY-2015-06¹.

The ATLAS multijet search relies on an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb⁻¹ of LHC proton-proton collisions at a center of mass of 13 TeV. Two different approaches are used in this search: an Meff-base and a Recursive Jigsaw reconstruction technique (RJR). We only implemented the Meff-based strategy since not enough information is available for the RJR technique (although we acknowledge gratefully the ATLAS collaboration to provide a snippet of the implementation but difficult to use out of the box). The Meff-based strategy contains 22 inclusive signal regions (SRs) covering jet multiplicities ranging from two to six, with signal jets having $p_T > 50$ GeV and the missing energy of the event must be larger than 250 GeV. Events are further discarded if a baseline electron or muon with $p_T > 7$ GeV remains. Some of the SRs require the same jet multiplicity, but are distinguished by increasing background rejection through cuts in variables like the p_T of the leading jets, $\Delta \phi$ between jets and MET and the effective mass variable M_{eff}

 $^{^1}$ also available on the PAD page of MA5 <code>http://madanalysis.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/PublicAnalysisDatabase</code>

among others. In the Meff-based search strategy, two additional SRs are defined using largerradius jets. Although we tried our best to reproduce the official results of ATLAS (thanks to their great help) we could not reach an acceptable level of agreement. Thus, these two signal regions (dubbed 2jB-1600 and 2jB-2400) are not implemented in the current version of the analysis.

2 Simulation details

To validate the implementation of the analysis, we have considered the three MSSM benchmarks for which ATLAS provided a cutflow table and compared against our own results. The benchmarks are define by:

- Gluino pair production with $m_{\text{gluino}} = 2000 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0 \text{ GeV}$.
- Squark pair production (1st and 2nd generation) with $m_{\text{squark}} = 1200 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 600 \text{ GeV}$.
- Squark pair production (1st and 2nd generation) with $m_{\text{squark}} = 1500 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} = 0$ GeV.

where m_{gluino} , m_{squark} , $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ are the masses of the gluino, squarks and lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP). The rest of the supersymmetric (SUSY) spectrum is decoupled from this set. We have generated the matrix elements up to two extra jets. The CKKW-L merging scheme [8,9] was applied with a scale parameter that was set to a quarter of the mass of the gluino for $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ production or of the squark mass otherwise. The A14 tune [10] was used for initial/final state radiation and underlying-event parameters together with the NNPDF2.3 LO [11–14] parton distribution function (PDF) set. The pythia code we used is based on the main89ckkwl.cmnd input file (see [16] for more details). Finally we simulate the detector response with Delphe3 with the same delphes card that was used for ATLAS-SUSY-2015-06 and can be found at http://madanalysis.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/PublicAnalysisDatabase.

3 Results

The comparison between the ATLAS official results and the MA5 ones can be found in the Tables below. The raw number of events generated is normalised to the cross section times luminosity. We use the tabulated NLO+NLL tabulated cross sections from [15] for the 13 TeV $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ production with squarks decoupled and the 13 TeV $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ production with gluinos (and stops) decoupled². The ATLAS collaboration has not provided cutflows for the 5j-2000 and 5j-2600. Nevertheless we display our results for these two SR anyway for the sake of completeness.

²Actually, since we only produced 1^{st} and 2^{nd} generation squarks (and not the sbottom as in [15]) we multiplied the cross section by 0.8.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	1200		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	19.9	-38.8%	19.0	-39.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	15.1	-24.1%	14.3	-24.7%
$p_T(j_2) > 250 \text{ GeV}$	14.8	-2.0%	14.1	-1.4%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 0.8$	8.0	-45.9%	7.51	-46.7%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 14 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	5.3	-33.8%	5.13	-31.7%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1200 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.3	-0.0%	5.13	-0.0%

Table 1: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 1200.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	1600		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	19.9	-38.8%	19.0	-39.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	15.1	-24.1%	14.3	-24.7%
$p_T(j_2) > 300 \text{ GeV}$	14.5	-4.0%	13.8	-3.5%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	12.0	-17.2%	11.4	-17.4%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	5.9	-50.8%	5.74	-49.6%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1600 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.9	-0.0%	5.74	-0.0%

Table 2: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 1600.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2000		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	19.9	-38.8%	19.0	-39.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	15.1	-24.1%	14.3	-24.7%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	13.9	-7.9%	13.2	-7.7%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	11.7	-15.8%	11.1	-15.9%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	5.7	-51.3%	5.54	-50.1%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2000 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.7	-0.0%	5.54	-0.0%

Table 3: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 2000.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ { m cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2100		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	26.3	-19.1%	25.2	-20.3%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	22.9	-12.9%	22.0	-12.7%
$p_T(j_1) > 600 \text{ GeV}$	20.5	-10.5%	19.8	-10.0%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 26 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	3.5	-82.9%	3.48	-82.4%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2100 {\rm ~GeV}$	3.5	-0.0%	3.47	-0.3%

Table 4: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 2100.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2400		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	19.9	-38.8%	19.0	-39.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	15.1	-24.1%	14.3	-24.7%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	13.9	-7.9%	13.2	-7.7%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	11.7	-15.8%	11.1	-15.9%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	5.7	-51.3%	5.54	-50.1%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2400 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.6	-1.8%	5.44	-1.8%

Table 5: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 2400.

$\tilde{a} \rightarrow q \bar{a} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 (2000/0)$ cutflow				
	for SB $2i -$	2800		
	101 SIC 2j =	2000	l	
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	19.9	-38.8%	19.0	-39.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	15.1	-24.1%	14.3	-24.7%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	13.9	-7.9%	13.2	-7.7%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	11.7	-15.8%	11.1	-15.9%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	5.7	-51.3%	5.54	-50.1%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2800 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.1	-10.5%	4.94	-10.8%

Table 6: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 2800.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	3600		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	19.9	-38.8%	19.0	-39.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	15.1	-24.1%	14.3	-24.7%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	13.9	-7.9%	13.2	-7.7%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	6.9	-50.4%	6.7	-49.2%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 3600 {\rm ~GeV}$	2.7	-60.9%	2.6	-61.2%

Table 7: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 3600.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $3j -$	1300		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$N_j \ge 3$	32.4	-0.3%	31.0	-1.9%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	26.2	-19.1%	25.1	-19.0%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	22.9	-12.6%	21.9	-12.7%
$p_T(j_1) > 700 \text{ GeV}$	18.0	-21.4%	17.4	-20.5%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 16 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	9.2	-48.9%	7.65	-56.0%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1300 {\rm ~GeV}$	9.2	-0.0%	7.65	-0.0%

Table 8: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 3j - 1300.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	1000		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$N_j \ge 4$	31.0	-4.6%	29.8	-5.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	25.0	-19.4%	24.1	-19.1%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	18.8	-24.8%	18.2	-24.5%
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	16.6	-11.7%	16.3	-10.4%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	7.9	-52.4%	7.95	-51.2%
Aplanarity > 0.04	5.4	-31.6%	5.52	-30.6%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.30$	1.9	-64.8%	2.02	-63.4%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1000 {\rm ~GeV}$	1.9	-0.0%	2.02	-0.0%

Table 9: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 1000.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$						
	for SR $4j - 1400$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change		
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)		
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4				
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6		
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%		
$N_j \ge 4$	31.0	-4.6%	29.8	-5.7%		
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	25.0	-19.4%	24.1	-19.1%		
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	18.8	-24.8%	18.2	-24.5%		
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	16.6	-11.7%	16.3	-10.4%		
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	15.1	-9.0%	14.9	-8.6%		
Aplanarity > 0.04	10.2	-32.5%	10.1	-32.2%		
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(4j) > 0.25$	5.7	-44.1%	5.73	-43.3%		
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1400 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.7	-0.0%	5.73	-0.0%		

Table 10: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 1400.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	1800		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$N_j \ge 4$	31.0	-4.6%	29.8	-5.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	25.0	-19.4%	24.1	-19.1%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	18.8	-24.8%	18.2	-24.5%
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	16.6	-11.7%	16.3	-10.4%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	15.1	-9.0%	14.9	-8.6%
Aplanarity > 0.04	10.2	-32.5%	10.1	-32.2%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.25$	5.7	-44.1%	5.73	-43.3%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1800 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.7	-0.0%	5.69	-0.7%

Table 11: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 1800.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	2200		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$N_j \ge 4$	31.0	-4.6%	29.8	-5.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	25.0	-19.4%	24.1	-19.1%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	18.8	-24.8%	18.2	-24.5%
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	16.6	-11.7%	16.3	-10.4%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	15.1	-9.0%	14.9	-8.6%
Aplanarity > 0.04	10.2	-32.5%	10.1	-32.2%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(4j) > 0.25$	5.7	-44.1%	5.73	-43.3%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2200 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.6	-1.8%	5.55	-3.1%

Table 12: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 2200.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$						
	for SR $4j - 2600$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change		
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)		
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4				
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6		
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%		
$N_j \ge 4$	31.0	-4.6%	29.8	-5.7%		
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	25.0	-19.4%	24.1	-19.1%		
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	18.8	-24.8%	18.2	-24.5%		
$p_T(j_4) > 150 \text{ GeV}$	14.1	-25.0%	13.9	-23.6%		
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	13.0	-7.8%	13.1	-5.8%		
Aplanarity > 0.04	9.2	-29.2%	9.21	-29.7%		
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.20$	6.6	-28.3%	6.64	-27.9%		
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	6.0	-9.1%	6.0	-9.6%		

Table 13: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 2600.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $4j - 3000$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4			
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6	
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%	
$N_j \ge 4$	31.0	-4.6%	29.8	-5.7%	
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	25.0	-19.4%	24.1	-19.1%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	18.8	-24.8%	18.2	-24.5%	
$p_T(j_4) > 150 \text{ GeV}$	14.1	-25.0%	13.9	-23.6%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	13.0	-7.8%	13.1	-5.8%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	9.2	-29.2%	9.21	-29.7%	
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(4j) > 0.20$	6.6	-28.3%	6.64	-27.9%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 3000 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.0	-24.2%	5.01	-24.5%	

Table 14: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 3000.

× × × ((0000 (0)))					
$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 ~(2000/0)$ cutflow					
	for SR $5j$ –	1600			
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4			
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6	
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%	
$N_j \ge 5$	23.6	-27.4%	22.5	-28.8%	
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	19.1	-19.1%	18.2	-19.1%	
Aplanarity > 0.08	8.0	-58.1%	6.35	-65.1%	
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(5j) > 0.15$	6.8	-15.0%	5.49	-13.5%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1600 {\rm ~GeV}$	6.8	-0.0%	5.47	-0.4%	

Table 15: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 5j - 1600.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $5j -$	1700		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$N_j \ge 5$	23.6	-27.4%	22.5	-28.8%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	19.1	-19.1%	18.2	-19.1%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	16.1	-15.7%	15.4	-15.4%
$p_T(j_1) > 700 \text{ GeV}$	12.4	-23.0%	12.0	-22.1%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(5j) > 0.30$	3.8	-69.4%	3.56	-70.3%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1700 {\rm ~GeV}$	3.8	-0.0%	3.56	-0.0%

Table 16: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 5j - 1700.

$\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $5j - 2000$					
cut	# events	relative change			
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})				
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4			
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%			
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%			
$N_j \ge 5$	23.6	-27.4%			
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	19.1	-19.1%			
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	13.6	-28.8%			
$E_T^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_T} > 15 ~{\rm GeV}^{1/2}$	7.6	-44.1%			
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2000 {\rm ~GeV}$	7.5	-1.3%			

Table 17: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 5j - 2000.

~						
$g ightarrow qq \chi_1^\circ$	$g \rightarrow q q \chi_1 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutilow}$					
for S	for SR $5j - 2600$					
cut	# events	relative change				
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})					
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4				
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%				
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%				
$N_j \ge 5$	23.6	-27.4%				
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	14.5	-38.6%				
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	10.2	-29.7%				
$E_T^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18~{\rm GeV}^{1/2}$	5.0	-51.0%				
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	4.6	-8.0%				

Table 18: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 5j - 2600.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $6j -$	1200		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$N_j \ge 6$	14.1	-56.6%	13.3	-57.9%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\text{min}} > 0.4$	11.4	-19.1%	10.8	-18.8%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	9.2	-19.3%	8.73	-19.2%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	6.8	-26.1%	6.66	-23.7%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(6j) > 0.25$	3.2	-52.9%	3.02	-54.7%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1200 {\rm ~GeV}$	3.2	-0.0%	3.02	-0.0%

Table 19: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 6j - 1200.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $6j - 1800$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4			
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6	
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%	
$N_j \ge 6$	14.1	-56.6%	13.3	-57.9%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	11.4	-19.1%	10.8	-18.8%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	9.2	-19.3%	8.73	-19.2%	
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	3.6	-60.9%	3.45	-60.5%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	2.8	-22.2%	2.81	-18.6%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	2.3	-17.9%	2.32	-17.4%	
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(6j) > 0.20$	1.4	-39.1%	1.33	-42.7%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1800 {\rm ~GeV}$	1.4	-0.0%	1.32	-0.8%	

Table 20: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 6j - 1800.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$					
	for SR $6j$ –	2200			
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4			
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6	
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%	
$N_j \ge 6$	14.1	-56.6%	13.3	-57.9%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	11.4	-19.1%	10.8	-18.8%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	9.2	-19.3%	8.73	-19.2%	
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	3.6	-60.9%	3.45	-60.5%	
Aplanarity > 0.08	1.9	-47.2%	1.74	-49.6%	
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(6j) > 0.20$	1.2	-36.8%	1.05	-39.7%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2200 {\rm ~GeV}$	1.2	-0.0%	1.04	-1.0%	

Table 21: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 6j - 2200.

$\tilde{g} \to q \bar{q} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (2000/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $6j -$	2600		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	35.4	35.4		
Preselection cuts	32.5	-8.2%	31.6	31.6
$N_j \ge 2$	32.5	-0.0%	31.6	-0.0%
$N_j \ge 6$	14.1	-56.6%	13.3	-57.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	11.4	-19.1%	10.8	-18.8%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	9.2	-19.3%	8.73	-19.2%
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	3.6	-60.9%	3.45	-60.5%
Aplanarity > 0.08	1.9	-47.2%	1.74	-49.6%
METtoMeff6015	1.6	-15.8%	1.39	-20.1%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	1.5	-6.3%	1.29	-7.2%

Table 22: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{g} \to q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (2000/0) in the Signal Region 6j - 2600.

5 $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600)$

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$						
	$q^{i} + q_{\lambda 1} (12007000) = 0.0000$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change		
	(scaled to σ and $($)	relative enange	π (official)	(official)		
	(scaled to 0 and \mathcal{L})	(=0.0	(Oniciai)	(Onicial)		
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3				
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376		
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%		
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	303.0	-20.0%	293.0	-21.4%		
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	289.3	-4.5%	279.0	-4.8%		
$p_T(j_2) > 250 \text{ GeV}$	181.5	-35.8%	180.0	-35.5%		
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 0.8$	85.8	-52.7%	83.7	-53.5%		
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 14 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	61.7	-28.1%	60.5	-27.7%		
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1200 {\rm ~GeV}$	60.6	-1.8%	59.0	-2.5%		

Table 23: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 2j - 1200.

$\tilde{a} \rightarrow a \tilde{a} 0$ (1200/600) ant from					
$q ightarrow q \chi_1^*$ (1200/600) cutnow					
	for SR $2j$ –	1600			
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3			
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376	
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%	
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	303.0	-20.0%	293.0	-21.4%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	289.3	-4.5%	279.0	-4.8%	
$p_T(j_2) > 300 \text{ GeV}$	137.1	-48.9%	138.0	-50.5%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	108.1	-21.2%	109	-21.0%	
$E_T^{\mathrm{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \ \mathrm{GeV}^{1/2}$	53.6	-50.4%	55.8	-48.8%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1600 {\rm ~GeV}$	45.3	-15.5%	45.4	-18.6%	

Table 24: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 2j - 1600.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2000		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	303.0	-20.0%	293.0	-21.4%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	289.3	-4.5%	279.0	-4.8%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	97.4	-60.2%	99.7	-64.3%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	79.9	-18.0%	81.7	-18.1%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18~{\rm GeV}^{1/2}$	35.1	-56.1%	38.1	-53.4%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2000 {\rm ~GeV}$	15.7	-55.3%	16.7	-56.2%

Table 25: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 2j - 2000.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2100		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	342.2	-9.7%	331.0	-11.3%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	334.2	-2.3%	322.0	-2.7%
$p_T(j_1) > 600 \text{ GeV}$	108.4	-67.6%	106.0	-67.1%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 26 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	22.5	-79.2%	22.3	-79.0%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2100 {\rm ~GeV}$	10.9	-51.6%	9.3	-58.3%

Table 26: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 2j - 2100.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2400		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	303.0	-20.0%	293.0	-21.4%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	289.3	-4.5%	279.0	-4.8%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	97.4	-66.3%	99.7	-64.3%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	79.9	-18.0%	81.7	-18.1%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	35.1	-56.1%	38.1	-53.4%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2400 {\rm ~GeV}$	6.3	-82.1%	6.22	-83.7%

Table 27: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 2j - 2400.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2800		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	303.0	-20.0%	293.0	-21.4%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	289.3	-4.5%	279.0	-4.8%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	97.4	-66.3%	99.7	-64.3%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	79.9	-18.0%	81.7	-18.1%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	35.1	-56.1%	38.1	-53.4%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2800 {\rm ~GeV}$	2.4	-93.2%	2.23	-94.1%

Table 28: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 2j - 2800.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	3600		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	303.0	-20.0%	293.0	-21.4%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	289.3	-4.5%	279.0	-4.8%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \mathrm{GeV}$	97.4	-66.3%	99.7	-64.3%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	43.3	-55.5%	46.2	-53.7%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 3600 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.4	-99.1%	0.347	-99.2%

Table 29: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 2j - 3600.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$						
	for SR $3j - 1300$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change		
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)		
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3				
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376		
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%		
$N_j \ge 3$	278.8	-26.4%	262.0	-29.8%		
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	245.8	-11.8%	231.0	-11.8%		
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	237.9	-3.2%	222.0	-3.9%		
$p_T(j_1) > 700 \text{ GeV}$	41.8	-82.4%	40.2	-81.9%		
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 16 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	25.2	-39.7%	20.3	-49.5%		
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1300 {\rm ~GeV}$	25.2	-0.0%	20.3	-0.0%		

Table 30: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 3j - 1300.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	1000		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$N_j \ge 4$	147.0	-61.2%	134	-100.0%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	129.6	-11.8%	119.0	-11.2%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	113.2	-12.7%	102.0	-14.3%
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	40.5	-64.2%	39.2	-61.6%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	11.3	-72.1%	12.5	-68.1%
Aplanarity > 0.04	6.9	-38.9%	8.39	-32.9%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.30$	4.5	-34.8%	5.13	-38.9%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1000 {\rm ~GeV}$	4.5	-0.0%	5.13	-0.0%

Table 31: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 4j - 1000.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $4j - 1400$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3			
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376	
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%	
$N_j \ge 4$	147.0	-61.2%	134	-100.0%	
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	129.6	-11.8%	119.0	-11.2%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	113.2	-12.7%	102.0	-14.3%	
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	40.5	-64.2%	39.2	-61.6%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	30.5	-24.7%	30.6	-21.9%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	18.5	-39.3%	19.5	-36.3%	
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.25$	15.1	-18.4%	15.4	-21.0%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1400 {\rm ~GeV}$	13.7	-9.3%	13.9	-9.7%	

Table 32: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 4j - 1400.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	1800		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$N_j \ge 4$	147.0	-61.2%	134	-100.0%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	129.6	-11.8%	119.0	-11.2%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	113.2	-12.7%	102.0	-14.3%
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	40.5	-64.2%	39.2	-61.6%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	30.5	-24.7%	30.6	-21.9%
Aplanarity > 0.04	18.5	-39.3%	19.5	-36.3%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.25$	15.1	-18.4%	15.4	-21.0%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1800 {\rm ~GeV}$	9.4	-37.7%	9.17	-40.5%

Table 33: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 4j - 1800.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $4j - 2200$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3			
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376	
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%	
$N_j \ge 4$	147.0	-61.2%	134	-100.0%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	129.6	-11.8%	119.0	-11.2%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	113.2	-12.7%	102.0	-14.3%	
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	40.5	-64.2%	39.2	-61.6%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	30.5	-24.7%	30.6	-21.9%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	18.5	-39.3%	19.5	-36.3%	
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.25$	15.1	-18.4%	15.4	-21.0%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2200 {\rm ~GeV}$	4.7	-68.9%	4.37	-71.6%	

Table 34: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 4j - 2200.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $4j - 2600$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3			
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376	
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%	
$N_j \ge 4$	147.0	-61.2%	134	-100.0%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	129.6	-11.8%	119.0	-11.2%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	113.2	-12.7%	102.0	-14.3%	
$p_T(j_4) > 150 \text{ GeV}$	15.2	-86.6%	15.4	-84.9%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	12.2	-19.7%	12.5	-18.8%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	8.1	-33.6%	8.93	-28.6%	
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.20$	7.2	-11.1%	7.92	-11.3%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	1.7	-76.4%	1.74	-78.0%	

Table 35: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 4j - 2600.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $4j - 3000$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3			
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376	
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%	
$N_j \ge 4$	147.0	-61.2%	134	-100.0%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	129.6	-11.8%	119.0	-11.2%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	113.2	-12.7%	102.0	-14.3%	
$p_T(j_4) > 150 \text{ GeV}$	15.2	-86.6%	15.4	-84.9%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	12.2	-19.7%	12.5	-18.8%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	8.1	-33.6%	8.93	-28.6%	
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.20$	7.2	-11.1%	7.92	-11.3%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 3000 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.7	-90.3%	0.489	-93.8%	

Table 36: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 4j - 3000.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$					
	for SR $5j -$	1600			
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3			
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376	
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%	
$N_j \ge 5$	58.0	-84.7%	53.3	-85.7%	
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	51.0	-12.1%	47.1	-11.6%	
Aplanarity > 0.08	15.1	-70.4%	12.7	-73.0%	
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(5j) > 0.15$	14.9	-1.3%	12.4	-2.4%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1600 {\rm ~GeV}$	10.9	-26.8%	9.3	-25.0%	

Table 37: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 5j - 1600.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $5j -$	1700		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$N_j \ge 5$	58.0	-84.7%	53.3	-85.7%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	51.0	-12.1%	47.1	-11.6%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	46.2	-9.4%	42.1	-10.6%
$p_T(j_1) > 700 \text{ GeV}$	7.2	-84.4%	7.4	-82.4%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(5j) > 0.30$	3.3	-54.2%	3.27	-55.8%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1700 {\rm ~GeV}$	3.3	-0.0%	3.25	-0.6%

Table 38: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 5j - 1700.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$						
for SR $5j - 2000$						
cut	# events	relative change				
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})					
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3				
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%				
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%				
$N_j \ge 5$	58.0	-84.7%				
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	51.0	-12.1%				
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	41.3	-19.0%				
$E_T^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_T} > 15 {\rm ~GeV^{1/2}}$	25.7	-37.8%				
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2000 {\rm ~GeV}$	10.8	-58.0%				

Table 39: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 5j - 2000.

$q \rightarrow q \chi_1^0$ (1200/600) cutflow						
for SR $5j - 2600$						
cut	# events	relative change				
(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})						
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3				
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%				
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%				
$N_j \ge 5$	58.0	-84.7%				
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	43.7	-24.7%				
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	35.5	-18.8%				
$E_T^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18~{\rm GeV}^{1/2}$	17.7	-50.1%				
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	2.0	-88.7%				

Table 40: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 5j - 2600.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $6j -$	1200		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$N_j \ge 6$	18.4	-95.1%	17.9	-95.2%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	16.1	-12.5%	15.6	-12.8%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	14.1	-12.4%	13.1	-16.0%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	7.6	-46.1%	7.34	-44.0%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(6j) > 0.25$	5.7	-25.0%	5.21	-29.0%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1200 {\rm ~GeV}$	5.6	-1.8%	5.08	-2.5%

Table 41: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 6j - 1200.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $6j - 1800$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3			
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376	
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%	
$N_j \ge 6$	18.4	-95.1%	17.9	-95.2%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	16.1	-12.5%	15.6	-12.8%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	14.1	-12.4%	13.1	-16.0%	
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	1.5	-89.4%	1.65	-87.4%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	0.9	-40.0%	1.07	-35.2%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	0.8	-11.1%	0.916	-14.4%	
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(6j) > 0.20$	0.5	-37.5%	0.652	-28.8%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1800 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.5	-0.0%	0.513	-21.3%	

Table 42: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 6j - 1800.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $6j$ –	2200		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3		
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%
$N_j \ge 6$	18.4	-95.1%	17.9	-95.2%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	16.1	-12.5%	15.6	-12.8%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	14.1	-12.4%	13.1	-16.0%
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	1.5	-89.4%	1.65	-87.4%
Aplanarity > 0.08	0.8	-46.7%	0.921	-44.2%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(6j) > 0.20$	0.7	-12.5%	0.674	-26.8%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2200 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.4	-42.9%	0.366	-45.7%

Table 43: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 6j - 2200.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1200/600) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $6j - 2600$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	470.3	470.3			
Preselection cuts	384.1	-18.3%	376	376	
$N_j \ge 2$	378.9	-1.4%	373	-100.0%	
$N_j \ge 6$	18.4	-95.1%	17.9	-95.2%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	16.1	-12.5%	15.6	-12.8%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	14.1	-12.4%	13.1	-16.0%	
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	1.5	-89.4%	1.65	-87.4%	
Aplanarity > 0.08	0.8	-46.7%	0.921	-44.2%	
METtoMeff6015	0.8	-0.0%	0.891	-3.3%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.2	-75.0%	0.373	-58.1%	

Table 44: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1200/600) in the Signal Region 6j - 2600.

6 $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0)$

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	1200		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	53.6	-22.2%	51.8	-23.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	50.3	-6.2%	48.9	-5.6%
$p_T(j_2) > 250 \text{ GeV}$	43.1	-14.3%	42.4	-13.3%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 0.8$	19.6	-54.5%	18.9	-55.4%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 14 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	16.0	-18.4%	15.7	-16.9%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1200 {\rm ~GeV}$	16.0	-0.0%	15.7	-0.0%

Table 45: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 1200.

$\sim 10^{-1} (1500/0) = 10^{-1}$				
$q ightarrow q \chi_1^*$ (1500/0) cutnow				
	for SR $2j$ –	1600		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	53.6	-22.2%	51.8	-23.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	50.3	-6.2%	48.9	-5.6%
$p_T(j_2) > 300 \text{ GeV}$	39.5	-21.5%	38.9	-20.4%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	30.2	-23.5%	29.1	-25.2%
$E_T^{\mathrm{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \ \mathrm{GeV}^{1/2}$	21.3	-29.5%	20.6	-29.2%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1600 {\rm ~GeV}$	21.2	-0.5%	20.4	-1.0%

Table 46: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 1600.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2000		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	53.6	-22.2%	51.8	-23.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	50.3	-6.2%	48.9	-5.6%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	35.4	-29.6%	34.9	-28.6%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	27.9	-21.2%	26.9	-22.9%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	19.4	-30.5%	18.8	-30.1%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2000 {\rm ~GeV}$	18.5	-4.6%	17.6	-6.4%

Table 47: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 2000.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2100		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	61.4	-10.9%	59.5	-12.4%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	59.2	-3.6%	57.7	-3.0%
$p_T(j_1) > 600 \text{ GeV}$	46.8	-20.9%	45.5	-21.1%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 26 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	21.2	-54.7%	20.7	-54.5%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2100 {\rm ~GeV}$	20.0	-5.7%	19.2	-7.2%

Table 48: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 2100.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2400		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	53.6	-22.2%	51.8	-23.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	50.3	-6.2%	48.9	-5.6%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	35.4	-28.0%	34.9	-28.6%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	27.9	-21.2%	26.9	-22.9%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	19.4	-30.5%	18.8	-30.1%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2400 {\rm ~GeV}$	15.6	-19.6%	14.3	-23.9%

Table 49: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 2400.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	2800		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	53.6	-22.2%	51.8	-23.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	50.3	-6.2%	48.9	-5.6%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	35.4	-28.0%	34.9	-28.6%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	27.9	-21.2%	26.9	-22.9%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	19.4	-30.5%	18.8	-30.1%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2800 {\rm ~GeV}$	10.4	-46.4%	9.22	-51.0%

Table 50: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 2800.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $2j$ –	3600		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	53.6	-22.2%	51.8	-23.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	50.3	-6.2%	48.9	-5.6%
$p_T(j_2) > 350 \text{ GeV}$	35.4	-28.0%	34.9	-28.6%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	24.7	-30.2%	24.3	-30.4%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 3600 {\rm ~GeV}$	2.2	-91.1%	2.09	-91.4%

Table 51: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 2j - 3600.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $3j -$	1300		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 3$	52.6	-23.7%	50.1	-26.2%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	45.9	-12.7%	44.0	-12.2%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	43.8	-4.6%	42.2	-4.1%
$p_T(j_1) > 700 \text{ GeV}$	27.5	-37.2%	26.5	-37.2%
$p_T(j_2) > 50 \text{ GeV}$	27.5	-0.0%	26.5	-0.0%
$p_T(j_3) > 50 \text{ GeV}$	27.5	-0.0%	26.5	-0.0%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_T} > 16 \ { m GeV}^{1/2}$	21.0	-23.6%	18.1	-31.7%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1300 {\rm ~GeV}$	21.0	-0.0%	18.1	-0.0%

Table 52: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 3j - 1300.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	1000		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 4$	30.2	-56.2%	28.2	-58.5%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	26.5	-12.3%	24.9	-11.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	22.5	-15.1%	21.5	-13.7%
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	9.8	-56.4%	9.84	-54.2%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 1.2$	3.3	-66.3%	2.95	-70.0%
Aplanarity > 0.04	1.6	-51.5%	1.52	-48.5%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.30$	1.1	-31.3%		
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1000 {\rm ~GeV}$	1.1	-0.0%	0.964	-36.6%

Table 53: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 1000.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $4j - 1400$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4			
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4	
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%	
$N_j \ge 4$	30.2	-56.2%	28.2	-58.5%	
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	26.5	-12.3%	24.9	-11.7%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	22.5	-15.1%	21.5	-13.7%	
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	9.8	-56.4%	9.84	-54.2%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	7.7	-21.4%	7.79	-20.8%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	3.8	-50.6%	4.0	-48.7%	
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.25$	3.1	-18.4%	3.25	-18.8%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1400 {\rm ~GeV}$	3.1	-0.0%	3.23	-0.6%	

Table 54: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 1400.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	1800		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 4$	30.2	-56.2%	28.2	-58.5%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	26.5	-12.3%	24.9	-11.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	22.5	-15.1%	21.5	-13.7%
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	9.8	-56.4%	9.84	-54.2%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	7.7	-21.4%	7.79	-20.8%
Aplanarity > 0.04	3.8	-50.6%	4.0	-48.7%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.25$	3.1	-18.4%	3.25	-18.8%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1800 {\rm ~GeV}$	3.0	-3.2%	3.03	-6.8%

Table 55: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 1800.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	2200		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 4$	30.2	-56.2%	28.2	-58.5%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	26.5	-12.3%	24.9	-11.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	22.5	-15.1%	21.5	-13.7%
$p_T(j_4) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	9.8	-56.4%	9.84	-54.2%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	7.7	-21.4%	7.79	-20.8%
Aplanarity > 0.04	3.8	-50.6%	4.0	-48.7%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.25$	3.1	-18.4%	3.25	-18.8%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2200 {\rm ~GeV}$	2.6	-16.1%	2.6	-20.0%

Table 56: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 2200.

$\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $4j$ –	2600		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 4$	30.2	-56.2%	28.2	-58.5%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	26.5	-12.3%	24.9	-11.7%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	22.5	-15.1%	21.5	-13.7%
$p_T(j_4) > 150 \text{ GeV}$	4.5	-80.0%	4.7	-78.1%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	3.7	-17.8%	3.97	-15.5%
Aplanarity > 0.04	2.2	-40.5%	2.43	-38.8%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.20$	2.0	-9.1%	2.16	-11.1%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	1.3	-35.0%	1.46	-32.4%

Table 57: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 2600.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $4j - 3000$					
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change	
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)	
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4			
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4	
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%	
$N_j \ge 4$	30.2	-56.2%	28.2	-58.5%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	26.5	-12.3%	24.9	-11.7%	
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	22.5	-15.1%	21.5	-13.7%	
$p_T(j_4) > 150 \text{ GeV}$	4.5	-80.0%	4.7	-78.1%	
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	3.7	-17.8%	3.97	-15.5%	
Aplanarity > 0.04	2.2	-40.5%	2.43	-38.8%	
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(4j) > 0.20$	2.0	-9.1%	2.16	-11.1%	
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 3000 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.9	-55.0%	0.942	-56.4%	

Table 58: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 4j - 3000.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $5j -$	1600		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 5$	13.6	-80.3%	12.5	-81.6%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	12.0	-11.8%	11.0	-12.0%
Aplanarity > 0.08	2.8	-76.7%	2.67	-75.7%
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/m_{\text{eff}}(5j) > 0.15$	2.7	-3.6%	2.59	-3.0%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1600 {\rm ~GeV}$	2.7	-0.0%	2.45	-5.4%

Table 59: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 5j - 1600.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
	for SR $5j -$	1700		
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 5$	13.6	-80.3%	12.5	-81.6%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	12.0	-11.8%	11.0	-12.0%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	10.6	-11.7%	9.85	-10.5%
$p_T(j_1) > 700 \text{ GeV}$	6.0	-43.4%	5.48	-44.4%
$p_T(j_4) > 50 \text{ GeV}$	6.0	-0.0%	5.48	-0.0%
$p_T(j_5) > 50 \text{ GeV}$	6.0	-0.0%	5.48	-0.0%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(5j)>0.30$	3.8	-36.7%	3.31	-39.6%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1700 {\rm ~GeV}$	3.8	-0.0%	3.3	-0.3%

Table 60: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 5j - 1700.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$					
for SR $5j - 2000$					
cut	# events	relative change			
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})				
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4			
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%			
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%			
$N_j \ge 5$	13.6	-80.3%			
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	12.0	-11.8%			
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	9.3	-22.5%			
$E_T^{\rm miss}/\sqrt{H_T} > 15 ~{\rm GeV}^{1/2}$	7.1	-23.7%			
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2000 {\rm ~GeV}$	6.3	-11.3%			

Table 61: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 5j - 2000.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$						
for SR $5j - 2600$						
cut	# events	relative change				
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})					
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4				
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%				
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%				
$N_j \ge 5$	13.6	-80.3%				
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.8$	10.2	-25.0%				
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.4$	8.0	-21.6%				
$E_T^{\text{miss}}/\sqrt{H_T} > 18 \text{ GeV}^{1/2}$	5.6	-30.0%				
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	3.4	-39.3%				

Table 62: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 5j - 2600.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
for SR $6j - 1200$				
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 6$	4.9	-92.9%	4.81	-92.9%
$\Delta\phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	4.3	-12.2%	4.24	-11.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	3.6	-16.3%	3.68	-13.2%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	2.2	-38.9%	2.27	-38.3%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(6j)>0.25$	1.7	-22.7%	1.68	-26.0%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1200 {\rm ~GeV}$	1.7	-0.0%	1.68	-0.0%

Table 63: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 6j - 1200.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
for SR $6j - 1800$				
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 6$	4.9	-92.9%	4.81	-92.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	4.3	-12.2%	4.24	-11.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	3.6	-16.3%	3.68	-13.2%
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	0.5	-86.1%	0.487	-86.8%
$ \eta(\text{jets}) < 2.0$	0.4	-20.0%	0.341	-30.0%
Aplanarity > 0.04	0.3	-25.0%	0.261	-23.5%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(6j) > 0.20$	0.2	-33.3%	0.226	-13.4%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 1800 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.2	-0.0%	0.219	-3.1%

Table 64: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 6j - 1800.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
for SR $6j - 2200$				
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 6$	4.9	-92.9%	4.81	-92.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	4.3	-12.2%	4.24	-11.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	3.6	-16.3%	3.68	-13.2%
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	0.5	-86.1%	0.487	-86.8%
Aplanarity > 0.08	0.2	-60.0%	0.215	-55.9%
$E_T^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}(6j) > 0.20$	0.2	-0.0%	0.19	-11.6%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2200 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.2	-0.0%	0.167	-12.1%

Table 65: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 6j - 2200.

$\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \ (1500/0) \ \text{cutflow}$				
for SR $6j - 2600$				
cut	# events	relative change	# events	relative change
	(scaled to σ and \mathcal{L})		(official)	(official)
Initial number of events	75.4	75.4		
Preselection cuts	69.7	-7.6%	68.4	68.4
$N_j \ge 2$	68.9	-1.1%	67.9	-0.7%
$N_j \ge 6$	4.9	-92.9%	4.81	-92.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{1,2,(3)}, E_T^{\text{miss}})_{\min} > 0.4$	4.3	-12.2%	4.24	-11.9%
$\Delta \phi(\text{jet}_{i>3})_{\min} > 0.2$	3.6	-16.3%	3.68	-13.2%
$p_T(j_6) > 100 \text{ GeV}$	0.5	-86.1%	0.487	-86.8%
Aplanarity > 0.08	0.2	-60.0%	0.215	-55.9%
METtoMeff6015	0.2	-0.0%	0.206	-4.2%
$m_{\rm eff}({\rm incl.}) > 2600 {\rm ~GeV}$	0.2	-0.0%	0.161	-21.8%

Table 66: Cutflow for the benchmark point $\tilde{q} \to q \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (1500/0) in the Signal Region 6j - 2600.

7 Conclusions

We have validated our implementation of the ATLAS SUSY 16 07 multijet analysis presented in [4] within the MadAnalysis5 framework. We compared our results against 3 benchmarks provided by the ATLAS collaboration. 90% of our results are below 20% relative difference with the ATLAS results. Largest discrepancies are found in cutflows with very low final number of events. Thus, we consider the implementation of the analysis as validated.

References

- E. Conte, B. Fuks, and G. Serret, "MadAnalysis 5, a user-friendly framework for collider phenomenology" Comput. Phys. Commun. 184, 222 (2013), arxiv:1206.1599.
- [2] E. Conte, B. Dumont, and B. Fuks, C. Wymant, "Designing and recasting LHC analyses with MadAnalysis 5" Eur. Phys. J. C 74, 3103 (2014), arxiv:1405.3982
- [3] B. Dumont et al, "Towards a public analysis database for LHC new physics searches using MadAnalysis 5", Eur. Phys. J. C 75, 56 (2014), arxiv:1407.3278
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, "Search for squarks and gluinos in final states with jets and missing transverse momentum using 36 fb-1 of sqrt(s) = 13 TeV pp collision data with the ATLAS detector" PRD97 (2018) 112001 arXiv:1712.02332 ATLAS-SUSY-16-07
- [5] J. Favereau, et al. "DELPHES 3, A modular framework for fast simulation of a generic collider experiment", JHEP 02 (2014) 057, arXiv:1307.6346.
- [6] J. Alwall et al., "The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations", JHEP 07 (2014) 079, arXiv:1405.0301.
- [7] T. Sjstrand et al., "An Introduction to PYTHIA 8.2", Comput. Phys. Commun. 191, 159 (2015) arXiv:1410.3012.
- [8] L. Lonnblad, JHEP 0205, 046 (2002) hep-ph/0112284.
- [9] L. Lonnblad and S. Prestel, JHEP 1203, 019 (2012) arXiv:1109.4829.
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, Summary of ATLAS Pythia 8 tunes, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2012-003 (2012), https://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1474107
- [11] R. D. Ball et al. [NNPDF Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B 877, 290 (2013) arXiv:1308.0598.
- [12] S. Carrazza [NNPDF Collaboration], PoS DIS 2013, 279 (2013) arXiv:1307.1131.
- [13] S. Carrazza [NNPDF Collaboration], arXiv:1305.4179.
- [14] R. D. Ball et al. [NNPDF Collaboration], JHEP 1504, 040 (2015)arXiv:1410.8849

- [15] LHC SUSY Cross Section Working Group
- [16] Pythia Matching and Merging