From Model Building to Events in a Straightforward Way Status of Supersymmetric Models in FEYNRULES.

Benjamin Fuks (IPHC Strasbourg / Université de Strasbourg)

In collaboration with N. Christensen, P. de Aquino, C. Duhr, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni and S. Schumann. Based on arXiv:0906.2474 [hep-ph].

Workshop on event generators for the NMSSM @ LPT Orsay November 16, 2009

Outline



Introduction: Monte Carlo generators for the Standard Model and New Physics.



3 Model database & validation procedures.



Summary - outlook 00

Theoretical calculations for the LHC.

- One of the goals of the LHC: which New Physics theory is the correct one? [if any, the LHC might be one ring to rule them all out!]
 - * We need data [which are hopefully coming this (next?) year].
 - * We need theoretical predictions for all models [which is the aim of this talk].
 - ♦ For the Standard Model (SM) backgrounds.
 - ◊ For the Beyond the Standard Model (BSM) signals.

Confront data and theory.

- Theoretical predictions:
 - * Handmade calculations 🙂.
 - ◊ Not practical: factorial growth of the number of diagrams.
 - ◊ Tedious and error prone.
 - * Automated tools 🙂.
 - ♦ Easy to use!
 - $\diamond~$ Can be used to simulate the full collision environment.
 - ◊ There exists a vast zoology of tools.

Monte Carlo tools and discoveries at the LHC (1).

• Matrix element-based event generators.

- * Reliable predictions for shapes.
- * Can be tuned (to some extent) to the data.
- * Can be used to describe the SM backgrounds.
- * Warning: for some distributions, accurate predictions are required.
- Best theoretical predictions.
 - * Accurate theoretical calculations.
 - ♦ Higher order QCD corrections.
 - ♦ Resummation.
 - ◊ Weak corrections.
 - ٥ ...
 - * Mandatory for understanding and control of physics and detector effets.
 - * Reliable estimate of errors.

Monte Carlo tools and discoveries at the LHC (2).

- Establishing of an excess over the SM backgrounds.
 - Difficult task.
 - * Use of Monte Carlo generators.
 - * Warning: for some signals, accurate predictions are required.
- Confirmation of the excess
 - Model building activities.
 - ♦ Bottom-up approach.
 - ◊ Top-down approach.
 - * Implementation of the new models in the Monte Carlo tools.
- Clarification of the new physics. •
 - Measurement of the parameters.
 - * Use of precision predictions.
 - * Sophistication of the analyses \Leftrightarrow new physics and detector knowledge.

Monte Carlo tools play a key role!

From Model Building to Events - Status of FEYNRULES

Benjamin Fuks - NMSSM Workshop @ LPT Orsay - 16.11.2009 - 5

Monte Carlo tools for the Standard Model (1).

- Automatized generation of leading-order matrix elements.
 - * Based on Feynman diagram techniques.
 - ♦ CALCHEP/COMPHEP [Pukhov et al. (1999); Boss et al. (2004)].
 - ♦ MADGRAPH/MADEVENT [Maltoni, Stelzer (2003); Alwall et al. (2007)].
 - ♦ SHERPA [Gleisberg et al. (2004)].
 - ♦ WHIZARD/OMEGA [Moretti et al. (2001); Kilian et al. (2007)].
 - * Generators going beyond the Feynman diagram techniques.
 - ♦ ALPGEN [Mangano et al. (2002)].
 - ♦ COMIX [Gleisberg et al. (2008)].
 - ♦ HELAC [Cafarella et al. (2007)].

Monte Carlo tools for the Standard Model (2).

- Automatization of next-to-leading order calculations.
 - * Real emission including the subtraction terms.
 - ♦ AMEGIC++ (Catani-Seymour) [Gleisberg and Krauss (2008)].
 - ♦ HELAC (Catani-Seymour) [Czakon, Papadopoulos, Worek (2009)].
 - ♦ Independent Catani-Seymour dipoles library [Hasegawa et al. (2008)].
 - ♦ MADDIPOLE (Catani-Seymour) [Frederix, Gehrmann, Greiner (2008)].
 - ♦ MADFKS (Frixione, Kunszt, Signer) [Frederix et al. (2009)].
 - ♦ TEVJET (Catani-Seymour) [Seymour and Tevlin (2008)].

* Loop amplitudes.

- ♦ BLACKHAT (on-shell approach) [Berger et al. (2009)].
- ♦ CUTTOOLS (generalized unitarity approach) [van Hameren *et al.* (2009)].
- ♦ GOLEM (Feynman diagram approach) [Binoth et al. (2008)].
- ♦ ROCKET (generalized unitarity approach) [Ellis *et al.* (2009)].

Monte Carlo tools for the Standard Model (3).

- From matrix elements to real life.
 - * Parton showering & hadronization.
 - ♦ PYTHIA [Sjostrand, Mrenna, Skands (2006, 2008)].
 - ♦ HERWIG [Corcella et al. (2001); Bahr et al. (2008)].
 - * Matching algorithm.
 - ♦ CKKW [Catani, Krauss, Kuhn, Webber (2001)].
 - ♦ MLM [Mangano et al. (2007)].
 - ٥ ...
 - * Matching next-to-leading order with parton showering.
 - \diamond MC@NLO [Frixione, Webber (2002)].
 - \diamond POWHEG [Nason (2004)].

We will soon be able to accurately simulate any SM process. What about BSM?

Summary - outlook 00

Monte Carlo tools for BSM (1).

• New physics theories.

- * There are a lot of different theories.
- * Based on very different ideas.
- * In evolution (regarding the discoveries).
- Implementation in Monte Carlo tools.
 - * A model consists in particles, parameters and vertices (\equiv Feynman rules).
 - ◊ The Feynman rules have to be derived.
 - ♦ Each Feynman rule has to be translated in informatic languages.
 - * Tedious, time-consuming, error prone task.
 - * We need to iterate for each considered model.
 - * We need to iterate for each considered MC tool.

Summary - outlook 00

Monte Carlo tools for BSM (2).

- Validation.
 - * Comparison with existing analytical and numerical results.
 - * Non systematic and partial.
 - ◊ Restricted set of available results.
 - ♦ No dedicated framework.
 - ♦ Warning: conventions.
- Distribution.
 - * Many models remain private.
 - * Exception: popular models such as the MSSM.
 - * Use of many home-made and hacked versions of existing models. \Rightarrow Issues for validation, traceability and maintenance.

An efficient framework is needed:

- * To develop new models.
- * To implement and validate new models in MC tools.
- ^k To test the models against the future data.

First steps towards a full automatization (1).

- Starting from physical quantities.
 - * All the physics is included in the model Lagrangian.
 - ♦ Remark: the Lagrangian is absent in the MC implementation.
 - * Traceability.
 - ♦ Univocal definition of a model.
 - $\diamond~$ No dependance on the conventions used by the MC tools.
 - * Flexibility.
 - $\diamond~$ A modification of a model \equiv change in the Lagrangian.
- The LANHEP package [Semenov (1998)].
 - * In the context of CALCHEP/COMPHEP.
 - * Allows to run several tests on the Lagrangian (reducing errors).
 - * Interfaced to FEYNARTS.

Summary - outlook 00

First steps towards a full automatization (2).

Aims:

- * To go **beyond** this scheme.
- * To create a general environment to implement any Lagrangian-based model.
- * To interface several Monte Carlo generators.
- * Robustness, easy validation and maintenance.
- * Easy integration in experimental software frameworks.
- * Allowing for both top-down and bottom-up approaches.

Outline



2 The FEYNRULES approach.

3 Model database & validation procedures.



Main features of $\rm FEYNRULES$ $_{\rm [Christensen, Duhr (2009)]}.$

- The working environment is MATHEMATICA.
 - * Flexibility for symbolic manipulations.
 - ◊ **Routines** to check a Lagrangian.
 - ٥ ...
 - * Various built-in features.
 - ♦ Matrix diagonalization.
 - ♦ Pattern recognition functions.
 - ٥ ...
 - * New additional functions can easily be added by users.
 - ♦ Model spectrum calculator.
 - ٥ ...
- Interfaces to Monte Carlo codes.
 - * The philosophy, architecture and aim of the codes can be different.
 - * Maximization of probability to have (at least) one (working) MC per model.
 - * FEYNRULES translates models in terms of files readable by the MC tools.



From Model Building to Events - Status of FEYNRULES Benjamin Fuks - NMSSM Workshop @ LPT Orsay - 16.11.2009 - 15

FeynRules

Models & Validation

Summary - outlook

A framework for LHC analyses (1).



Summary - outlook 00

A framework for LHC analyses (2).

1 New physics is discovered at the LHC.

2 Model builders propose explanations.

- * Bottom-up approach.
- * Top-down approach.

Implementation phase.

- * Direct implementation in FEYNRULES.
- * Incorporation of the new models inside the experimental softwares.

Confrontation to the data.

6 Refinement of the model.

 \Rightarrow Back to step 3.

Framework where both theorists and experimentalists have their place.

From Model Building to Events - Status of FEYNRULES Benjamin Fuks - NMSSM Workshop @ LPT Orsay - 16.11.2009 - 17

Scope and limitations.

• Supported fields.

- * Scalar fields.
- * Dirac and Majorana fermions [Weyl fermions: in validation].
- * Vector fields.
- * Ghost fields.
- * No spin 3/2.
- * Spin two fields.

• The model must fulfil basic quantum field theory requirements.

- * Lorentz invariance.
- * Gauge invariance.
- * Higher-dimensional operators are supported.
- Interfaces and Monte Carlo tools.
 - * Only scalars, Dirac and Majorana fermions, vector (and ghost) fields.
 - * Higher-dimensional operators are not all supported.

Example: QCD - Parameters

| Parameters of the mod | el | | |
|--|----------|---|---------------------|
| aS == { Description | -> | "Strong coupling constant at MZ" | |
| TeX ParameterType BlockNeme | -> -> | <pre>Subscript[\[Alpha],s], External, external;</pre> | |
| OrderBlock InteractionOrder | -> -> | 3, {QCD, 2}}, | |
| gs == { | | | |
| Description TeX CompleyParameter | -> -> | "Strong coupling constant", Subscript[g, s], False | |
| ParameterType Value | -> -> | Internal, Sort[4 Pi aS]. | |
| InteractionOrder | -> | {QCD, 1}, | |
| ParameterName | -> | * All the information neede | ed by the MC codes. |
| | | IEX-form (for the IEX-file Complex/real parameters | e). |
| | | * External/internal parameters | ters. |

From Model Building to Events - Status of FEYNRULES

Benjamin Fuks - NMSSM Workshop @ LPT Orsay - 16.11.2009 - 19

FeynRules

Models & Validation

Summary - outlook 00

Example: QCD - Gauge group and gauge boson

| The $SU(3)_C$ gauge gro | oup | |
|-------------------------|-----|--------------|
| SU3C == { | | |
| Abelian | -> | False, |
| GaugeBoson | -> | G, |
| StructureConstant | -> | f, |
| DTerm | -> | dSUN, |
| Representations | -> | {T, Colour}, |
| CouplingConstant | -> | gs} |

Gluon field definition

| /[1] == { | |
|-----------------|------------------|
| ClassName | -> G, |
| SelfConjugate | -> True, |
| Indices | -> Index[Gluon], |
| Mass | -> 0, |
| Width | -> 0, |
| ParticleName | -> "g", |
| PDG | -> 21, |
| PropagatorLabel | -> "G", |
| PropagatorType | -> C, |
| PropagatorArrow | -> None} |
| | |

- * Gauge boson definition.
- * Gauge group definition.
- * Association of a coupling constant.
- * Definition of the structure functions.
- * Definition of the representations.

F

Example: QCD - Quark fields (Dirac fermions)

| I he | CILL | ark | tie | lds |
|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| | 944 | | | |

| [1] == { | | |
|------------------|----|---|
| ClassName | -> | q, |
| ClassMembers | -> | {d, u, s, c, b, t}, |
| FlavorIndex | -> | Flavour, |
| SelfConjugate | -> | False, |
| Indices | -> | <pre>{Index[Flavour],Index[Colour]},</pre> |
| WeylComponents | -> | {qL,qRbar}, |
| Mass | -> | {MQ, MD, MU, MS, MC, MB, MT}, |
| Width | -> | {WQ, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, WT}, |
| ParticleName | -> | {"d", "u", "s", "c", "b", "t"}, |
| AntiParticleName | -> | {"d~", "u~", "s~", "c~", "b~", "t~"}, |
| PDG | -> | $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\},\$ |
| PropagatorLabel | -> | {"q", "d", "u", "s", "c", "b", "t"}, |
| PropagatorType | -> | Straight, |
| PropagatorArrow | -> | Forward} * Classes: implicit sums in the La |

- * Classes: implicit sums in the Lagrangian.
- * All the information needed by the MC codes.

Summary - outlook

Example: QCD - Quark fields (Weyl fermions)

The quark fields

| W[1] == { | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| ClassName | -> qL, |
| Chirality | -> Left, |
| SelfConjugate | -> False, |
| Indices | -> {Index[Flavour], Index[Colour]}, |
| FlavorIndex | -> Flavour, |
| ClassMembers | -> {dL,uL,sL,cL,bL,tL}, |
| Unphysical | -> True}, |
| W[2] == { | |
| ClassName | -> qR, |
| Chirality | -> Left, |
| SelfConjugate | -> False, |
| Indices | -> {Index[Flavour], Index[Colour]}, |
| FlavorIndex | -> Flavour, |
| ClassMembers | -> {dR,uR,sR,cR,bR,tR}, |
| Unphysical | -> True} |

FEYNRULES

Summary - outlook 00

Example: QCD - Lagrangian

QCD Lagrangian:

The QCD Lagrangian

```
LQCD = -1/4 * FS[G, mu, nu, a] * FS[G, mu, nu, a] +
```

I*qbar.Ga[mu].DC[q, mu] -

```
MQ[f] * qbar[s,f,c].q[s,f,c] ;
```

LQCDW = -1/4 * FS[G, mu, nu, a] * FS[G, mu, nu, a] +

I qR.Si[mu].DC[qRbar, mu] + I qLbar.Sibar[mu].DC[qL, mu] -

MQ[f] * (qR[s,f,c].qL[s,f,c] + qRbar[s,f,c].qLbar[s,f,c]);

* Implicit summations \Rightarrow easy debugging.

Summary - outlook

Example: QCD - Results

Results - let us do (some) phenomenology!

```
FeynmanRules[LQCD, FlavorExpand->False]
FeynmanRules[WeylToDirac[LQCDW], FlavorExpand->False]
```

```
Vertex 1
Particle 1 : Vector , G
Particle 2 : Dirac , q†
Particle 3 : Dirac , q
Vertex:
```

```
i g_s \gamma^{\mu_1}_{s_2,s_3} \delta_{f_2,f_3} T^a_{m_2,m_3}
```

WriteFeynArtsOutput[LQCD] WriteCHOutput[LQCD] WriteMGOutput[LQCD] WriteSHOutput[LQCD] WriteWOOutput[LQCD]

Outline



2 The FEYNRULES approach.

3 Model database & validation procedures.



Validation procedure - the four-star system (LH 2009).

- Any model can be put on the FEYNRULES website.
- First star [DOC]:
 - * Documentation: description, references, ...
 - * Complete model or theory fragment.
 - * Consistency of the input parameters.

• Second star [THEO]:

- * Basic sanity checks: hermiticity, signs, ...
- * Comparison with literature.
- * Use of FeynArts/FormCalc possible.
- Third star [1MC]:
 - * The MC is producing reliable results for basic processes.
 - * Reproduction of the SM results for sectors independent on new physics.
 - * Gauge invariance, behaviour at high energy.
 - * Numerical tables for cross sections (future references).
- Fourth star [nMC]:
 - * Reproduce the [1MC] step for more than one MC generator.
 - * Comparison tables for future references.

Validation procedure & models - outlook.

• Joint development with LANHEP.

- * Joint validation procedure.
- * Joint model database.
- * Validation and distribution of private models.
- Implementation of new Lagrangians (with benchmark points).
 - * Systematization of the BSM investigations at the LHC.
 - * Implementations in FEYNRULES lead to several ready-to-go solutions.
 - ♦ CALCHEP/COMPHEP.
 - ♦ MadGraph/MadEvent.
 - ♦ Sherpa.
 - ♦ Whizard.

FEYNRULES 0000000000

Models & Validation

Summary - outlook 00

Example: validation of the Standard Model.

| CALCH | Iep, Co | омрНе | p, Mai | GRAP | н/Маі | DEVENT | г, Sher | PA and | WHIZA | rd resu | ts |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | CalcHER | ColoHER | ColoHER | CompHED | MadGraph | MadGraph | Charpa | Mainard | Mainard | Mai mand | |
| Process | Stock | Reynman | Unitary | Revonan | Stock | Unitary | Unitary | Stock | Heynman | Unitary | |
| ag->ag | 116490 | 116.490 | 116490 | 116 490 | 116 680 | 116 120 | 116490 | 115031 | 116 585 | 116 642 | Discreency |
| uu->aa | 199.95 | 199.95 | 199.95 | 199.94 | 200.21 | 199.77 | 199,963 | 199.693 | 199.693 | 199.693 | bracipency. |
| tF->aa | 64.595 | 64.595 | 64.595 | 64.592 | 64.467 | 64.537 | 64.5856 | 64.623 | 64.5601 | 64.5601 | |
| e*e*->#*# | 0.37194 | 0.37195 | 0.37195 | 0.37194 | 0.37202 | 0.37148 | 0.372011 | 0.372034 | 0.372028 | 0.372028 | |
| e*e*->e*e* | 734.15 | 734.15 | 734.15 | 734.16 | 733,96 | 734.47 | 734,314 | 734,622 | 734,609 | 734,609 | |
| e+e>v.v.v. | 49.143 | 49.145 | 49.145 | 49.145 | results | results | 49.1361 | 49.1139 | 49.1184 | 49.1184 | |
| tE->uu | 16.018 | 16.018 | 16.018 | 16.018 | 16.012 | 16.022 | 16.0204 | 16.0214 | 16.0214 | 16.0214 | |
| uu->ss | 9.7634 | 9.7634 | 9.7634 | 9.7631 | 9.7631 | 9.7692 | 9.76376 | 9.76348 | 9.76346 | 9.76348 | |
| ud->cs | 0.3531 | 0.35311 | 0.35311 | 0.35312 | 0.35274 | 0.35318 | 0.353149 | 0.353212 | 0.353215 | 0.353215 | |
| us->cd | 0.0010187 | 0.0010187 | 0.0010187 | 0.0010187 | 0.0010186 | 0.0010182 | 0.00101879 | 0.00101897 | 0.00101898 | 0.00101898 | |
| W+W>t€ | 44.534 | 44.535 | 44.535 | 44.534 | 44.647 | 44.485 | 44.5503 | 44.4991 | 44.4992 | 44.4992 | |
| tE->ZZ | 1.2534 | 1.2534 | 1.2534 | 1.2534 | 1.254 | 1.2559 | 1.25321 | 1.25431 | 1.25432 | 1.25432 | |
| tE->Zy | 1.3119 | 1.3119 | 1.3119 | 1.312 | 1.3139 | 1.3113 | 1.31197 | 1.31261 | 1.31202 | 1.31202 | |
| tE->yy | 0.088486 | 0.088486 | 0.088486 | 0.088485 | 0.088527 | 0.088462 | 0.0884835 | 0.0884519 | 0.0884983 | 0.0884983 | |
| $uu \rightarrow W^+W^-$ | 1.7736 | 1.7737 | 1.7737 | 1.7737 | 1.7698 | 1.776 | 1.77424 | 1.77412 | 1.77413 | 1.77413 | |
| uu->ZZ | 0.19345 | 0.19347 | 0.19347 | 0.19346 | 0.19357 | 0.19318 | 0.193462 | 0.192923 | 0.192927 | 0.192927 | |
| uu->Zy | 0.33811 | 0.33812 | 0.33812 | 0.33811 | 0.3381 | 0.3384 | 0.334504 | 0.338125 | 0.338124 | 0.338124 | Discrpency! |
| uu->yy | 0.18322 | 0.18322 | 0.18322 | 0.18323 | 0.18332 | 0.18329 | 0.183224 | 0.183377 | 0.183373 | 0.183373 | |
| $z^+ z^- \rightarrow W^+ W^-$ | 5.3681 | 5.3684 | 5.3684 | 5.3686 | 5.3517 | 5.3637 | 5.36799 | 5.36556 | 5.3656 | 5.3656 | |
| $\tau^+ \tau^> ZZ$ | 0.31816 | 0.31817 | 0.31817 | 0.31816 | 0.31852 | 0.31805 | 0.318256 | 0.31799 | 0.317993 | 0.317993 | |
| $\tau^+ \tau^> Z\gamma$ | 2.0057 | 2.0057 | 2.0057 | 2.0057 | 2.0083 | 2.0044 | 1.98453 | 1.99948 | 2.00799 | 2.00799 | Discrpency! |
| 2+2->28 | 2.7791 | 2.7791 | 2.7791 | 2.779 | 2.7773 | 2.7756 | 2.77911 | 2.77248 | 2.77711 | 2.77711 | |
| ZZ->ZZ | 1.9606 | 1.9606 | 1.9606 | 1.9606 | 1.9565 | 1.9555 | 1.96071 | 1.96046 | 1.96046 | 1.96046 | |
| $W^+W^- \rightarrow \chi \chi$ | 20.825 | 20.825 | 20.825 | 20.824 | 20.827 | 20.804 | 20.8182 | 20.8527 | 20.8171 | 20.8171 | |
| W+W>ZZ | 272.62 | 272.63 | 272.63 | 272.62 | 272.36 | 272.11 | 272.694 | 272.422 | 272.425 | 272.425 | |
| W*W>W*W- | 1318.1 | 1318.2 | 1318.2 | 1318.2 | 1317.2 | 1318.8 | 1318.45 | 1320.05 | 1320.03 | 1320.03 | |
| hh->hh | 1.8569 | 1.857 | 1.857 | 1.857 | | 1.8567 | 1.85587 | 1.86179 | 1.86179 | 1.86179 | |
| ZZ→hh | 6.3027 | 6.3029 | 6.3029 | 6.3029 | 6.311 | 6.3137 | 6.30265 | 6.29227 | 6.31003 | 6.31003 | |
| $hh \rightarrow W^+W^-$ | 94.47 | 94.473 | 94.473 | 94.473 | 94.815 | 94.833 | 94.5793 | 94.5073 | 94.5077 | 94.5077 | |

Supersymmetric models and their validation (1).

- The MSSM [Duhr, BenjF (in prep)].
 - * Publicly available: http://feynrules.phys.ucl.ac.be/view/Main/MSSM .
 - * References: analytical results, stock versions of CALCHEP, MADGRAPH.
 - * Validation: FeynArts + CalcHep, MadGraph.
 - * **On-going**: SHERPA, WHIZARD.
- The NMSSM [Braam, BenjF, Reuter (in prep)].
 - * Started at Les Houches 2009.
 - * **Private** (expected to be out in early 2010).
 - * Validation: analytical results, stock version of WHIZARD (cf. Felix's talk).
 - * Validation: CALCHEP, MADGRAPH.
 - * **On-going**: FEYNARTS, SHERPA, WHIZARD.
- The MSSM with *R*-parity violation. [Duhr, BenjF; Andrea, BenjF (in prep)].
 - * **Private** (expected to be out mid 2010).
 - * On-going validation.

Supersymmetric models and their validation (2).

• The MSSM with Dirac gauginos.

[Bruneliere, Das, Duhr, Fox, BenjF, Henderson, Kribs, Martin, Roy, ...].

- * Started at Les Houches 2009.
- * Still private (available in early 2010).
- * References: analytical results, stock versions of CALCHEP, MADGRAPH.
- * On-going validation.
- Other supersymmetric models.
 - * Larger time-scale.
 - * Contact us if you want to implement a new model (or do it yourself).

The (almost) most general MSSM - model

- A general version of the MSSM (any usual limit easily taken).
 - * Sfermion sector.
 - $\diamond~6\times 6$ and 3×3 CP and flavour violating mixing matrices.

$$\stackrel{\diamond}{=} e.g. \left(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{u}_4, \tilde{u}_5, \tilde{u}_6 \right)^T = R^{\tilde{u}} \left(\tilde{u}_L, \tilde{c}_L, \tilde{t}_L, \tilde{u}_R, \tilde{c}_R, \tilde{t}_R \right)^T, \\ \left(\tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_3, \tilde{d}_4, \tilde{d}_5, \tilde{d}_6 \right)^T = R^{\tilde{d}} \left(\tilde{d}_L, \tilde{s}_L, \tilde{b}_L, \tilde{d}_R, \tilde{s}_R, \tilde{b}_R \right)^T.$$

* Higgs sector.

- $\diamond~$ Only 2 \times 2 mixing considered for the moment.
- ♦ To be generalized in version 1.2.0.

$$\left(\tilde{h}_1,\tilde{h}_2,\tilde{h}_3\right)^{\mathsf{T}}=\mathsf{R}^h\!\left(\sqrt{2}\Re\{\mathsf{H}_1^0\},\sqrt{2}\Re\{\mathsf{H}_2^0\},\mathsf{A}_{\mathrm{tree}}^0\right)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

* Gaugino/higgsino sector.

- ◊ Written in the mass basis (contrary to the rest of the Lagrangian).
- ♦ Is changed, in version 1.1.4 (present development version).

• 105 free parameters.

- * The **SLHA-FR format** (SLHA2-like format).
- * C++ translator SLHA1/2 \Leftrightarrow SLHA-FR (v1.2.0 is coming).

The (almost) most general MSSM - validation [Duhr, BenjF].

- Handmade vs. automated implementation.
 - * 2522 vertices, without the four-scalar interactions.
 - * More that 10000 vertices, with the four-scalar interactions !!!
- FEYNARTS/FORMCALC.
 - ✓ All 2 → 2 SUSY hadroproduction processes checked with litterature. [Bozzi, BenjF, Herrmann, Klasen (2007); BenjF, Herrmann, Klasen (2009; in prep.)].
- MADGRAPH/MADEVENT (in the cMSSM limit):
 - * MG-Stock was validated by the CATPISS collaboration [Hagiwara et al. (2006)].
 - ✓ 320 decay widths.
 - ✓ 626 2 → 2 SUSY processes.
 - ✓ 2708 2 → 3 SUSY processes.
 - TO DO: check the general MSSM.
 - ♦ With XSUSY [BenjF, Herrmann (in prep.)]
 - $\diamond~$ With the stock version of $\rm WHIZARD~[Herrmann].$
- CALCHEP/COMPHEP (in the cMSSM):
 - **X** 626 $2 \rightarrow 2$ SUSY processes \Rightarrow Bugs found in the stock version!

The signs and absolute values of all the vertices have been checked.

FEYNRULES 00000000000

The (almost) most general MSSM - validation [Duhr, BenjF].

Some MADGRAPH/MADEVENT and CALCHEP results

| Process | MG-FR | MG-ST | CH-FR | CH-ST | Comparison |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| b,b~>mu+,mu- | 7.01173×10^{-3} | 7.00622×10^{-3} | 7.0113×10^{-3} | 7.0114×10^{-3} | $\delta = 0.0786383$ % |
| b,b~>e+,e- | 7.01047×10^{-3} | 7.00913×10^{-3} | 7.0113×10^{-3} | 7.0114×10^{-3} | δ = 0.0323792 % |
| b,b~>tau+,tau- | 7.23656×10^{-3} | 7.2231×10^{-3} | 7.2351×10^{-3} | 7.2352×10^{-3} | $\delta = 0.186166$ % |
| b,b~>ve,ve~ | 8.38141×10^{-3} | 8.38607×10^{-3} | 8.3842×10^{-3} | 8.3843×10^{-3} | $\delta = 0.0556675$ % |
| b,b~>vm,vm~ | 8.3868×10^{-3} | 8.38046×10^{-3} | 8.3842×10^{-3} | 8.3843×10^{-3} | $\delta = 0.0756488$ % |
| b,b~>vt,vt~ | 8.38227×10^{-3} | 8.38318×10^{-3} | 8.3842×10^{-3} | 8.3843×10^{-3} | δ = 0.0242298 % |
| b,b~>u,u~ | 2.19296 | 2.19098 | 2.1931 | 2.1931 | δ = 0.0966848 % |
| b,b~>t,t~ | 4.74685×10^{1} | 4.74541×10^{1} | 4.7307×10^{1} | 4.7308×10^{1} | $\delta = 0.340907$ % |
| b,b~>d,d~ | 2.19374 | 2.19428 | 2.1944 | 2.1944 | $\delta = 0.0301166$ % |
| b,b~>b,b~ | 2.34515×10^{4} | 2.34471×10^{4} | 2.3448×10^{4} | 2.3448×10^{4} | $\delta = 0.0188769$ % |
| b,b~>W+,W- | 1.33248 | 1.33234 | 1.3331 | 1.3331 | $\delta = 0.0573475$ % |
| b,b~>Z,Z | 1.39592×10^{-1} | 1.39525×10^{-1} | 1.3982×10^{-1} | 1.3982×10^{-1} | $\delta = 0.210885$ % |
| b,b~>Z,a | 2.8492×10^{-2} | 2.85038×10^{-2} | 2.8503×10^{-2} | 2.8504×10^{-2} | δ = 0.0420335 % |
| b,b~>g,g | 5.55219×10^{1} | 5.54535×10^{1} | 5.5504×10^{1} | 5.5504×10^{1} | $\delta = 0.12333$ % |
| b,b~>sd1,sd1~ | 3.40163×10^{-1} | 3.40348×10^{-1} | $3.401 	imes 10^{-1}$ | 3.4009×10^{-1} | $\delta = 0.0759557$ % |
| b,b~>sd2,sd2~ | 2.58964×10^{-1} | 2.59026×10^{-1} | 2.5914×10^{-1} | 2.5915×10^{-1} | δ = 0.0716753 % |
| b,b~>sd1,sd2~ | 6.07283×10^{-1} | 6.07465×10^{-1} | 6.0701×10^{-1} | 6.0701×10^{-1} | δ = 0.0749837 % |
| b,b~>su1,su1~ | 2.88616×10^{-1} | 2.89041×10^{-1} | 2.8884×10^{-1} | 2.8625×10^{-1} | δ = 0.97026 % |
| b,b~>su6,su6~ | 5.91346×10^{-3} | 5.91497×10^{-3} | 5.9124×10^{-3} | 5.2701×10^{-3} | δ = 11.5309 % |
| b,b~>su1,su6~ | 1.15552×10^{-2} | 1.15752×10^{-2} | 1.1567×10^{-2} | 8.7247×10^{-3} | δ = 28.0835 % |
| b,b~>n1,n1 | 1.73348×10^{-4} | 1.73503×10^{-4} | 1.7329×10^{-4} | 1.7329×10^{-4} | δ = 0.12272 % |
| b,b~>n1,n2 | 7.25698×10^{-4} | 7.25803×10^{-4} | 7.2617×10^{-4} | 7.2618×10^{-4} | δ = 0.0664021 % |
| b,b~>n1,n3 | 4.87872×10^{-4} | 4.89162×10^{-4} | 4.8893×10^{-4} | 4.8893×10^{-4} | $\delta = 0.26393$ % |
| b,b~>n1,n4 | 2.90254×10^{-4} | 2.89831×10^{-4} | 2.8994×10^{-4} | 2.8994×10^{-4} | $\delta = 0.146048$ % |
| b,b~>n2,n2 | 5.74033×10^{-3} | 5.74407×10^{-3} | 5.7423×10^{-3} | 5.7424×10^{-3} | δ = 0.0651865 % |
| b, b~>n2, n3 | 2.73662×10^{-3} | 2.73514×10^{-3} | 2.7398×10^{-3} | 2.7399×10^{-3} | δ = 0.173711 % |
| b,b~>n2,n4 | 2.0141×10^{-3} | 2.01493×10^{-3} | 2.0149×10^{-3} | 2.015×10^{-3} | $\delta = 0.0448974$ % |
| b,b~>n3,n3 | 4.54157×10^{-5} | 4.54171×10^{-5} | 4.5409×10^{-5} | 4.5409×10^{-5} | $\delta = 0.0178662$ % |
| b,b~>n3,n4 | 1.08667×10^{-2} | 1.08477×10^{-2} | 1.0845×10^{-2} | 1.0845×10^{-2} | δ = 0.199685 % |
| b, b~>n4, n4 | 2.16226×10^{-4} | 2.15906×10^{-4} | 2.1573×10-4 | 2.1574×10^{-4} | $\delta = 0.229686$ % |

From Model Building to Events - Status of FEYNRULES

Benjamin Fuks - NMSSM Workshop @ LPT Orsay - 16.11.2009 - 33

The (almost) most general MSSM - to do list [Duhr, BenjF].

- From an almost most general model to the most general one.
 - * Generalization of the Higgs sector.
- MADGRAPH/MADEVENT and CALCHEP/COMPHEP.
 - * Check with $\rm XSUSY$ and $\rm WHIZARD~$ for the general model case.
- Sherpa:
 - * Ongoing validation: one issue related to Majorana particles remaining. [+ possible hidden stuff].
- WHIZARD:
 - * Starting validation (the FR-interface must be validated at the same time).
- We have automatically generated model files for several tools.
 - * Calchep/Comphep.
 - * FeynArts/FormCalc.
 - * MadGraph/MadEvent.
 - * SHERPA (?).
 - * WHIZARD (?).
 - * Can be used for phenomenology.
 - * Stock versions are working too.

The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model

• Implementation in FEYNRULES (not yet public).

- * General mixings.
 - ♦ The general NMSSM has been implemented.
 - ◊ Extended neutralino sector.
 - ♦ Extended Higgs sector.
- * 105 + 10 free parameters.
 - ♦ The **SLHA-FR format** (SLHA2-like format).
 - ♦ C++ translator SLHA1/2 \Leftrightarrow SLHA-FR (seems to work).
- MADGRAPH and CALCHEP model files.
 - * Validation against the stock version of WHIZARD.
 - * See Felix's talk.

• We have automatically generated model files for several tools.

- * CALCHEP/COMPHEP.
- * FEYNARTS/FORMCALC.
- * MADGRAPH/MADEVENT.
- * SHERPA (?).
- * WHIZARD (?).
- * Can be used for phenomenology.
- * Stock versions (if existing) are working too.

Outline

Introduction: Monte Carlo generators for the Standard Model and New Physics.

2 The FEYNRULES approach.

3 Model database & validation procedures.



From model building to events using FEYNRULES

- A powerful prospecting chain:
 - * Model implementation: FEYNRULES.
 - * Events: CALCHEP, MADGRAPH, SHERPA, WHIZARD, ...
 - * Parton showering and hadronization: PYTHIA, HERWIG, ...
 - * Detector effects: Delphes, PGS, CMSSW, Athena...
 - * The phenomenology of 'any' model can easily be investigated.

Remark: CALCHEP: LANHEP or SARAH can be used (instead of FEYNRULES).

• Experimental softwares

- * Contain matrix-element generators.
- * FEYNRULES is supported by the MC people (if an interface exists).
- * No software modifications required to include FEYNRULES models. [One single copy paste is the only thing to do!]
- Implementation and validation of new models.
 - * Is any important/interesting model not integrated in MC tools?
 - * Is any important validation criteria missing?

Summary: the philosophy of FEYNRULES

- * Flexible theorist-friendly environment to develop new models. Mathematica-based.
- * Filling the gap between model building and collider phenomenology.
 1) Lagrangian → FEYNRULES → model files for your favourite MC codes.
 2) Monte Carlo code → phenomenology.

FEYNRULES is not tied to any generator.

* Avoid separate implementations of a model on different programs. FEYNRULES does it for you!

Exploit the strengths of the different programs!

- * Traceability, portability and documentation. Test of a model against data: all model information in the FEYNRULES files.
- * The validation of models is not neglected! Different generators, gauges, etc...