

FeynRules

arXiv:0806.4194

<http://europa.fyma.ucl.ac.be/feynrules>

& CalcHEP

<http://theory.sinp.msu.ru/~pukhov/calchep.html>

Neil Christensen

[Michigan State University](#)

December 18, 2008

**FeynRules in
collaboration with:**

Claude Duhr

[U C Louvain](#)

Benjamin Fuks

[Strasbourg](#)

Michell Herquet

[NIKHEF](#)

Fabio Maltoni

[U C Louvain](#)

Steffen Schumann

[U Heidelberg](#)


**CalcHEP in
collaboration with:**


Alexander Pukhov


[U C Louvain](#)


Alexander Belyaev


[Southampton](#)


















Collider
Phenomenology

Feynman
Rules

FeynRules
Implementation

Loop
Calculations

Experimental
Tests




Collider Phenomenology

Feynman Rules

FeynRules Implementation


Loop Calculations

Experimental Tests



Plug N Play:

- No need for a modified MG or CH.
- Experimenters can plug the model files directly into their software.



Model File

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields
- Lagrangian

Model File

- Model Information

```
M$ModelName = "my new model";
```

```
M$Information = { options };
```

- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields
- Lagrangian

Model File

- Model Information

```
M$modelName = "my new model";
```

```
M$Information = { options };
```

- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields
- Lagrangian

Options include:

- Authors
- Emails
- Institutions
- References
- Date

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries

```
M$GaugeGroups = {  
    gaugegroup1 == { options } ,  
    gaugegroup2 == { options } ,  
    ... };
```

- Parameters
- Fields
- Lagrangian

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries

```
M$GaugeGroups = {  
    gaugegroup1 == { options } ,  
    gaugegroup2 == { options } ,  
    ... };
```

- Parameters
- Fields
- Lagrangian

Options include:

- Abelian
- Boson
- Coupling
- ...

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters

```
M$Parameters = {  
    parameter1 == { options } ,  
    parameter2 == { options } ,  
    ... };
```

- Fields
- Lagrangian

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters

```
M$Parameters = {  
    parameter1 == { options } ,  
    parameter2 == { options } ,  
    ... };
```

- Fields
- Lagrangian

Options include:

- Type
- Value
- MC Name
- ...

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields

```
M$ClassesDescription = {  
    field1 == { options } ,  
    field2 == { options } ,  
    ... };
```

- Lagrangian

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields

```
M$ClassesDescription = {  
    field1 == { options } ,  
    field2 == { options } ,  
    ... };
```

- Lagrangian

Options include:

- Indices
- Definitions
- Masses
- PDG Codes
- ...

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields

```
M$ClassesDescription = {  
    field1 == { options } ,  
    field2 == { options } ,  
    ... ,  
};
```

- Lagrangian

Can include:

- Gauge eigenstates
- Mass eigenstates

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields
- Lagrangian

```
L = - 1/4 FS[G, mu, nu, a] FS[G, mu, nu, a]
      + I qbar . Ga[mu] . del[q, mu]
      + gs qbar . Ga[mu] . T[a] . q G[mu, a]
```

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields
- Lagrangian

FR symbols:

- FS[...]
- Ga[...]
- del[...]
- ProjP[...]
- ...

$$\begin{aligned} L = & - \frac{1}{4} \text{FS}[G, \mu, \nu, a] \text{FS}[G, \mu, \nu, a] \\ & + I \bar{q} \cdot \text{Ga}[\mu] \cdot \text{del}[q, \mu] \\ & + g_s \bar{q} \cdot \text{Ga}[\mu] \cdot T[a] \cdot q \text{G}[\mu, a] \end{aligned}$$

Model File

- Model Information
- Gauge Symmetries
- Parameters
- Fields
- Lagrangian

```
L = - 1/4 FS[G, mu, nu, a] FS[G, mu, nu, a]
      + I qbar . Ga[mu] . del[q, mu]
      + gs qbar . Ga[mu] . T[a] . q G[mu, a]
```

The last line is short for:

```
+ gs Ga[mu, s, r] T[a, i, j] ubar[s, I] . u[r, j] G[mu, a]
+ gs Ga[mu, s, r] T[a, i, j] cbar[s, I] . c[r, j] G[mu, a]
+ gs Ga[mu, s, r] T[a, i, j] tbar[s, I] . t[r, j] G[mu, a]
+ ...
```

Model File

- A lot more details can be found in the manual:

<http://feynrules.phys.ucl.ac.be/>

Running FeynRules

Running FeynRules

- Load FeynRules
- Load Model
- Feynman Rules
- Translate

Running FeynRules

- Load FeynRules

```
$FeynRulesPath= {path to FeynRules} ;  
SetDirectory[ $FeynRulesPath ];  
<<FeynRules`
```

- Load Model
- Feynman Rules
- Translate

Running FeynRules

- Load FeynRules
- Load Model

```
SetDirectory[ {path to Model} ];
```

```
LoadModel[ {file1} , {file2} , ... ];
```


- Feynman Rules
- Translate

Running FeynRules

- Load FeynRules
- Load Model
- **Feynman Rules**

`FeynmanRules[L1 , ... , options];`

- Translate



Options include:

- FlavorExpand
- MaxCanonicalDimension
- MaxParticles
- SelectParticles
- ...

Running FeynRules

- Load FeynRules
- Load Model
- Feynman Rules
- Translate

```
WriteFeynArtsOutput[ L1 , L2 , ... , options ];
```

```
WriteCHOutput[ L1 , L2 , ... , options ];
```

```
WriteMGOOutput[ L1 , L2 , ... , options ];
```

```
WriteSherpaOutput[ L1 , L2 , ... , options ];
```

Tutorial

- Tutorial later today includes:
 - Extending the SM in FeynRules.
 - Obtaining Feynman rules in FeynRules.
 - Translating the model to MadGraph & CalcHEP.

Validation

Version 1.2
Currently Available

SM validation

31 2→2 processes

Process	CalcHEP Stock	CalcHEP Feynman	CalcHEP Unitary	CompHEP Feynman	MadGraph Stock	MadGraph
gg->gg	116 490.	116 490.	116 490.	116 490.	116 600.	116 510.
uū->gg	199.95	199.95	199.95	199.94	199.95	200.12
t̄t->gg	64.595	64.595	64.595	64.592	64.549	64.652
e ⁺ e ⁻ ->μ ⁺ μ ⁻	0.37195	0.37195	0.37195	0.37194	0.3722	0.37187
e ⁺ e ⁻ ->e ⁺ e ⁻	734.15	734.15	734.15	734.16	734.05	734.68
e ⁺ e ⁻ ->ν _e ̄ν _e	49.145	49.145	49.145	49.145	49.104	49.111
t̄t->uū	16.018	16.018	16.018	16.018	16.05	16.028
uū->s̄s	9.6103	9.6102	9.6103	9.6097	9.6146	9.6284
ūd->c̄s	0.23864	0.23864	0.23864	0.23864	0.23866	0.23873
ūs->c̄d	0.018947	0.018947	0.018947	0.018947	0.018956	0.01895
t̄t->W ⁺ W ⁻	17.265	17.265	17.265	17.265	17.237	17.199
t̄t->ZZ	1.2686	1.2686	1.2686	1.2686	1.2722	1.2704
t̄t->Zγ	1.3119	1.3119	1.3119	1.312	1.3109	1.31
t̄t->γγ	0.088486	0.088486	0.088486	0.088485	0.088385	0.088379
uū->W ⁺ W ⁻	2.0465	2.0465	2.0465	2.0465	2.0438	2.0441
uū->ZZ	0.21123	0.21123	0.21123	0.21123	0.21172	0.21147
uū->Zγ	0.33812	0.33812	0.33812	0.33811	0.33789	0.33803
uū->γγ	0.18322	0.18322	0.18322	0.18323	0.18321	0.18332
τ ⁺ τ ⁻ ->W ⁺ W ⁻	6.1871	6.187	6.187	6.187	6.1842	6.1884
τ ⁺ τ ⁻ ->ZZ	0.34765	0.34765	0.34765	0.34765	0.34841	0.34884
τ ⁺ τ ⁻ ->Zγ	2.0057	2.0057	2.0057	2.0057	2.0032	2.0108
τ ⁺ τ ⁻ ->γγ	2.7791	2.7791	2.7791	2.779	2.7799	2.7825
ūd->W ⁺ W ⁺ W ⁻	0.016192	0.016192	-	0.016175	0.016115	0.016162
ūd->ZZW ⁺	0.004209	0.0042089	-	0.0042012	0.0042088	0.0042131
ūd->γZW ⁺	0.0085385	0.0085385	-	0.0085216	0.0085062	0.0085409
ūd->γγW ⁺	0.0033698	0.0033698	-	0.00338	0.003365	0.0033772
ZZ->ZZ	1.9672	1.9672	1.9672	1.9672	1.9685	1.9666
W ⁺ W ⁻ ->ZZ	290.85	290.85	290.85	290.85	291.15	290.67
hh->hh	1.94	1.94	1.94	1.94	-	1.9399
hh->ZZ	65.801	65.801	65.801	65.801	65.947	65.927
hh->W ⁺ W ⁻	100.49	100.49	100.49	100.49	100.81	100.8

3-Site Model Validation

191 2→2
processes

Phys.Rev.D74:075011,2006
Chivukula, Coleppa, Di
Chiara, Simmons, He,
Kurachi, Tanabashi

Phys. Rev. D78:031701, 2008
Belyaev, Chivukula,
Christensen, He, Kuang, Qi,
Simmons, Zhang

3-Site Model Validation

191 2→2 subprocesses

	Lanhep CalcHEP Feynman	Lanhep CalcHEP Unitary	FeynRules CalcHEP Feynman	FeynRules CalcHEP Unitary	FeynRules CompHEP Feynman
uū->gg	170.5	170.5	170.5	170.5	170.49
u'ū->gg	0	0	0	0	0
tē->gg	55.906	55.906	55.906	55.906	55.903
t'ē->gg	0	0	0	0	0
uū->γγ	0.15862	0.15862	0.15862	0.15862	0.15862
u'ū->γγ	0	0	3.6538×10^{-37}	3.6538×10^{-37}	3.6539×10^{-37}
tē->γZ'	0.00016576	0.00016576	0.00016576	0.00016576	0.00016576
t'ē->γZ'	0.033204	0.033204	0.033204	0.033204	0.033204
t'ē'->γZ'	0.0049275	0.0049275	0.0049275	0.0049275	0.0049276
t'ē'->γZ'	0.042476	0.042476	0.042476	0.042476	0.042473
t'ē'->γZ'	0.012657	0.012657	0.012657	0.012657	0.012657

Validation

Version 1.4
Available Soon

3-Site Model Validation

224 2→2 processes

Process	MG-FR	CH-FR	CH-Stock	Result
e1,E1>e1,E1	7.5297×10^2	7.5325×10^2	7.5276×10^2	OK: 0.0650726%
e1,E1>~e1,E1	9.2959×10^{-2}	9.3187×10^{-2}	9.3127×10^{-2}	OK: 0.244969%
~e1,E1>~e1,E1	7.4668×10^2	7.4643×10^2	7.4594×10^2	OK: 0.0991545%
~e1,E1>~e1,~E1	9.9398×10^{-1}	9.9571×10^{-1}	9.9506×10^{-1}	OK: 0.173896%
e1,E1>e2,E2	1.1508×10^{-3}	1.1495×10^{-3}	1.1488×10^{-3}	OK: 0.173943%
e1,E1>~e2,E2	2.9709×10^{-6}	2.9724×10^{-6}	2.9705×10^{-6}	OK: 0.0639418%
~e1,E1>~e2,E2	7.8648×10^{-1}	7.8727×10^{-1}	7.8676×10^{-1}	OK: 0.100397%
e1,E1>~e2,~E2	4.889×10^{-4}	4.8812×10^{-4}	4.878×10^{-4}	OK: 0.225248%
~e1,~E1>e2,E2	1.5044×10^{-3}	1.5064×10^{-3}	1.5054×10^{-3}	OK: 0.132855%
~e1,E1>~e2,~E2	7.531×10^{-2}	7.5364×10^{-2}	7.5315×10^{-2}	OK: 0.0716779%
~e1,~E1>~e2,E2	1.6019×10^{-1}	1.6061×10^{-1}	1.6051×10^{-1}	OK: 0.261845%
~e1,~E1>~e2,~E2	2.2723×10^{-1}	2.2722×10^{-1}	2.2707×10^{-1}	OK: 0.070438%
e1,E1>e3,E3	1.1494×10^{-3}	1.1495×10^{-3}	1.1488×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0609146%
e1,E1>~e3,E3	2.972×10^{-6}	2.9727×10^{-6}	2.9707×10^{-6}	OK: 0.0673015%
~e1,E1>~e3,E3	7.8513×10^{-1}	7.8727×10^{-1}	7.8675×10^{-1}	OK: 0.272195%
e1,E1>~e3,~E3	4.8854×10^{-4}	4.8812×10^{-4}	4.878×10^{-4}	OK: 0.151587%
~e1,~E1>e3,E3	1.5036×10^{-3}	1.5079×10^{-3}	1.5069×10^{-3}	OK: 0.285572%
~e1,E1>~e3,~E3	7.5366×10^{-2}	7.5363×10^{-2}	7.5314×10^{-2}	OK: 0.0690204%
~e1,~E1>~e3,E3	1.6051×10^{-1}	1.6061×10^{-1}	1.6051×10^{-1}	OK: 0.062282%
~e1,~E1>~e3,~E3	2.2698×10^{-1}	2.2722×10^{-1}	2.2707×10^{-1}	OK: 0.10568%
e1,E1>u1,U1	1.872×10^{-3}	1.8679×10^{-3}	1.8666×10^{-3}	OK: 0.288878%
e1,E1>~u1,U1	8.9133×10^{-6}	8.9172×10^{-6}	8.9114×10^{-6}	OK: 0.065064%
~e1,E1>~u1,U1	2.3601	2.3618	2.3603	OK: 0.0720049%
e1,E1>~u1,~U1	6.3623×10^{-4}	6.3636×10^{-4}	6.3595×10^{-4}	OK: 0.0644497%
~e1,~E1>u1,U1	2.4565×10^{-3}	2.4554×10^{-3}	2.4538×10^{-3}	OK: 0.109973%
~e1,E1>~u1,~U1	2.2601×10^{-1}	2.2609×10^{-1}	2.2594×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0663673%
~e1,~E1>~u1,U1	4.8124×10^{-1}	4.8183×10^{-1}	4.8152×10^{-1}	OK: 0.122525%
~e1,~E1>~u1,~U1	6.5637×10^{-1}	6.5672×10^{-1}	6.563×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0639747%
e1,E1>u3,U3	1.8471×10^{-3}	1.8498×10^{-3}	1.8486×10^{-3}	OK: 0.146068%
e1,E1>~u3,U3	1.6911×10^{-5}	1.6915×10^{-5}	1.6904×10^{-5}	OK: 0.0650522%
~e1,E1>~u3,U3	2.2679	2.2687	2.2672	OK: 0.066139%
e1,E1>~u3,~U3	6.1769×10^{-4}	6.1592×10^{-4}	6.1552×10^{-4}	OK: 0.351927%
~e1,~E1>u3,U3	4.7048×10^{-2}	4.7189×10^{-2}	4.7158×10^{-2}	OK: 0.299246%
~e1,E1>~u3,~U3	1.981×10^{-1}	1.9832×10^{-1}	1.9819×10^{-1}	OK: 0.110993%
~e1,~E1>~u3,~U3	4.7045×10^{-1}	4.7078×10^{-1}	4.7048×10^{-1}	OK: 0.070121%

MSSM Validation

456 key 2→2 processes from hep-ph/0512260
used to compare Sherpa, Whizard and MadGraph
Benjamin Fuks

Process	MG-FR	MG-Stock	CH-FR	CH-Stock	Result
Z,a>mu+,mu-	3.5558×10^{-1}	3.5568×10^{-1}	3.5551×10^{-1}	3.5551×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0478072%
Z,a>e+,e-	3.5539×10^{-1}	3.5555×10^{-1}	3.5551×10^{-1}	3.5551×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0450108%
Z,a>tau+,tau-	3.5512×10^{-1}	3.5588×10^{-1}	3.5542×10^{-1}	3.5542×10^{-1}	OK: 0.213783%
Z,a>u,u~	5.385×10^{-1}	5.393×10^{-1}	5.3908×10^{-1}	5.3909×10^{-1}	OK: 0.148451%
Z,a>t,t~	2.	2.002	2.0023	2.0023	OK: 0.114934%
Z,a>d,d~	1.7388×10^{-1}	1.7391×10^{-1}	1.7393×10^{-1}	1.7394×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0345006%
Z,a>b,b~	1.7335×10^{-1}	1.7324×10^{-1}	1.7326×10^{-1}	1.7326×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0634756%
Z,a>W+,W-	2.3846×10^2	2.3684×10^2	2.3829×10^2	2.3829×10^2	OK: 0.681675%
Z,a>s11-,s11+	1.2075×10^{-2}	1.207×10^{-2}	1.2073×10^{-2}	1.2072×10^{-2}	OK: 0.0414164%
Z,a>s12-,s12+	1.7109×10^{-2}	1.7096×10^{-2}	1.7123×10^{-2}	1.7122×10^{-2}	OK: 0.157807%
Z,a>s13-,s13+	1.7098×10^{-2}	1.7111×10^{-2}	1.7123×10^{-2}	1.7122×10^{-2}	OK: 0.146109%
Z,a>s14-,s14+	1.883×10^{-2}	1.8826×10^{-2}	1.8829×10^{-2}	1.8829×10^{-2}	OK: 0.021245%
Z,a>s15-,s15+	1.8788×10^{-2}	1.8789×10^{-2}	1.8829×10^{-2}	1.8829×10^{-2}	OK: 0.217987%
Z,a>s16-,s16+	1.3431×10^{-2}	1.3435×10^{-2}	1.345×10^{-2}	1.345×10^{-2}	OK: 0.141364%
Z,a>s11-,s16+	6.2754×10^{-3}	6.2714×10^{-3}	6.2715×10^{-3}	6.2715×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0637613%
Z,a>su1,su1~	1.3139×10^{-6}	1.3113×10^{-6}	1.3117×10^{-6}	1.3104×10^{-6}	OK: 0.266738%
Z,a>su2,su2~	4.0727×10^{-3}	4.0721×10^{-3}	4.0734×10^{-3}	4.0734×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0319195%
Z,a>su3,su3~	4.0752×10^{-3}	4.0768×10^{-3}	4.0734×10^{-3}	4.0734×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0834335%
Z,a>su4,su4~	1.8383×10^{-2}	1.8375×10^{-2}	1.8384×10^{-2}	1.8384×10^{-2}	OK: 0.0489676%
Z,a>su5,su5~	1.8371×10^{-2}	1.8379×10^{-2}	1.8384×10^{-2}	1.8384×10^{-2}	OK: 0.0707387%
Z,a>su6,su6~	3.844×10^{-3}	3.843×10^{-3}	3.8422×10^{-3}	3.8423×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0468372%
Z,a>su1,su6~	3.2889×10^{-2}	3.2864×10^{-2}	3.2862×10^{-2}	3.2862×10^{-2}	OK: 0.082128%
Z,a>sd1, sd1~	6.2093×10^{-3}	6.2098×10^{-3}	6.2113×10^{-3}	6.2114×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0338145%
Z,a>sd2, sd2~	1.4741×10^{-5}	1.4737×10^{-5}	1.4742×10^{-5}	1.4741×10^{-5}	OK: 0.0339225%
Z,a>sd3, sd3~	2.5967×10^{-4}	2.5975×10^{-4}	2.5983×10^{-4}	2.5982×10^{-4}	OK: 0.0615977%
Z,a>sd4, sd4~	2.5982×10^{-4}	2.5983×10^{-4}	2.5983×10^{-4}	2.5982×10^{-4}	OK: 0.00384874%
Z,a>sd5, sd5~	6.4416×10^{-3}	6.4402×10^{-3}	6.4401×10^{-3}	6.4401×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0232889%
Z,a>sd6, sd6~	6.4391×10^{-3}	6.4427×10^{-3}	6.4401×10^{-3}	6.4401×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0558928%
Z,a>sd1, sd2~	1.2389×10^{-3}	1.2381×10^{-3}	1.2388×10^{-3}	1.2388×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0645943%
Z,a>H+, H-	1.124×10^{-2}	1.1255×10^{-2}	1.124×10^{-2}	1.124×10^{-2}	OK: 0.133363%

MSSM Validation

2708 2→3 processes (MG stock vs FR MG)

100 phase space points tested

Benjamin Fuks

Process	Result
e+e->sl5-, sv3~, h+	OK: 0.00231897%
e+e->sl2-, sv3~, h+	OK: 0.00206813%
e+e->sl5-, sv3~, h+	OK: 0.00231897%
e+e->sl4-, sv2~, h+	OK: 0.00212638%
e+e->sl1-, sv1~, h+	OK: 0.00131054%
e+e->sl6-, sv1~, h+	OK: 0.00449663%
e+e->sl5+, sv3, h-	OK: 0.00244297%
e+e->sl2+, sv3, h-	OK: 0.00210734%
e+e->sl5+, sv3, h-	OK: 0.00244297%
e+e->sl4+, sv2, h-	OK: 0.00212638%
e+e->sl1+, sv1, h-	OK: 0.00131054%
e+e->sl6+, sv1, h-	OK: 0.00449663%
e+e->su5, sd5~, h-	OK: 0.00211725%
e+e->su4, sd6~, h-	OK: 0.00211725%
e+e->su1, sd1~, h-	OK: 0.00116314%
e+e->su6, sd2~, h-	OK: 0.00123555%
e+e->su6, sd1~, h-	OK: 0.0012443%
e+e->su1, sd2~, h-	OK: 0.00122908%
e+e->su5~, sd5, h+	OK: 0.00211725%
e+e->su4~, sd6, h+	OK: 0.00211725%
e+e->su1~, sd1, h+	OK: 0.00116314%
e+e->su6~, sd2, h+	OK: 0.00123555%
e+e->su6~, sd1, h+	OK: 0.0012443%
e+e->su1~, sd2, h+	OK: 0.00122908%

MSSM validation

320 key decays

Benjamin Fuks

Process	MG-FR	MG-Stock	Result
$h2>h1, h1$	9.9641×10^{-3}	9.9641×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su1>n1, t$	3.9006×10^{-1}	3.9006×10^{-1}	OK: 0.%
$su1>n2, t$	2.3748×10^{-1}	2.3748×10^{-1}	OK: 0.%
$su1>x1+, b$	1.3661	1.3661	OK: 0.%
$su1>x2+, b$	2.797×10^{-2}	2.797×10^{-2}	OK: 0.%
$su2>n1, u$	1.1373	1.1373	OK: 0.%
$su2>n2, u$	9.7615×10^{-3}	9.7615×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su2>n3, u$	1.4285×10^{-3}	1.4285×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su2>n4, u$	4.5165×10^{-3}	4.5165×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su3>n1, c$	1.1373	1.1373	OK: 0.%
$su3>n2, c$	9.7615×10^{-3}	9.7615×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su3>n3, c$	1.4285×10^{-3}	1.4285×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su3>n4, c$	4.5165×10^{-3}	4.5165×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su4>n1, c$	3.6437×10^{-2}	3.6437×10^{-2}	OK: 0.%
$su4>n2, c$	1.7475	1.7475	OK: 0.%
$su4>n3, c$	4.6278×10^{-3}	4.6278×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su4>n4, c$	5.6681×10^{-2}	5.6681×10^{-2}	OK: 0.%
$su4>x1+, s$	3.5574	3.5574	OK: 0.%
$su4>x2+, s$	7.4507×10^{-2}	7.4507×10^{-2}	OK: 0.%
$su5>n1, u$	3.6437×10^{-2}	3.6437×10^{-2}	OK: 0.%
$su5>n2, u$	1.7475	1.7475	OK: 0.%
$su5>n3, u$	4.6278×10^{-3}	4.6278×10^{-3}	OK: 0.%
$su5>n4, u$	5.6681×10^{-2}	5.6681×10^{-2}	OK: 0.%
$su5>x1+, d$	3.5574	3.5574	OK: 0.%
$su5>x2+, d$	7.4507×10^{-2}	7.4507×10^{-2}	OK: 0.%
$su6>n1, t$	2.1885×10^{-1}	2.1885×10^{-1}	OK: 0.%
$su6>n2, t$	6.4001×10^{-1}	6.4001×10^{-1}	OK: 0.%
$su6>n3, t$	3.085×10^{-1}	3.085×10^{-1}	OK: 0.%
$su6>n4, t$	1.4251	1.4251	OK: 0.%
$su6>x1+, b$	1.6194	1.6194	OK: 0.%
$su6>x2+, b$	1.4909	1.4909	OK: 0.%
$su6>su1, z$	1.4002	1.4002	OK: 0.%
$su6>su1, h1$	2.7015×10^{-1}	2.7015×10^{-1}	OK: 0.%
$su1>n1, t\sim$	3.9006×10^{-1}	3.9006×10^{-1}	OK: 0.%
$su1>n2, t\sim$	2.3748×10^{-1}	2.3748×10^{-1}	OK: 0.%
$su1>x1-, b\sim$	1.3661	1.3661	OK: 0.%
$su1>x2-, b\sim$	2.797×10^{-2}	2.797×10^{-2}	OK: 0.%
$su2>n1, u\sim$	1.1373	1.1373	OK: 0.%
$su2>n2, u\sim$	9.7615×10^{-3}	9.7615×10^{-3}	OK: 0.3

MSSM validation

Benjamin Fuks

Several 2->2 processes calculated by hand and compared with FeynRules implementation in FeynArts/FormCalc.

MUED validation

Priscila de Aquino

118 2->2 processes

compared to Datta, Kong, Matchev implementation

JHEP 0601:038,2006, PRD72:096006,2005, ...

Process	MG-FR	CH-FR	CH-Stock	Result
e1R-,e1R+>d,d~	3.277×10^{-2}	3.2795×10^{-2}	3.2795×10^{-2}	OK: 0.0762602%
e1R-,e1R+>A,A	2.0803×10^{-1}	2.0788×10^{-1}	2.0788×10^{-1}	OK: 0.072131%
e1L-,e1L+>e-,e+	2.5×10^{-1}	2.4978×10^{-1}	2.4978×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0880387%
e1L-,n1l>e-,n1	1.0519	1.0519	1.0519	OK: 0.%
B1,B1>d,d~	6.1392×10^{-3}	6.1347×10^{-3}	6.1347×10^{-3}	OK: 0.0733263%
Z1,Z1>W-,W+	2.8571×10^1	2.8573×10^1	2.8573×10^1	OK: 0.00699986%
W1+,W1->Z,Z	8.4226	8.4161	8.4161	OK: 0.0772031%
G1,B1>u,u~	3.6894×10^{-1}	3.7095×10^{-1}	3.7103×10^{-1}	OK: 0.564888%
Du1,Du1>u,u	9.1353	9.1361	9.1392	OK: 0.0426824%
Dd1,Dd1~>d,d~	7.9776	7.984	7.9871	OK: 0.119013%
Su1,Su1>u,u	7.153	7.1468	7.1495	OK: 0.0867145%
Sd1,Sd1>d,d	5.8596	5.8576	5.86	OK: 0.040964%
Su1,Su1~>u,u~	8.3667	8.3857	8.3888	OK: 0.263794%
Sd1,Sd1~>d,d~	9.1003	9.1	9.1032	OK: 0.0351587%
t1R-,t1R+>u,u~	1.1102×10^{-1}	1.1094×10^{-1}	1.1094×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0720851%
t1R-,t1R+>d,d~	3.2697×10^{-2}	3.2795×10^{-2}	3.2795×10^{-2}	OK: 0.299273%
t1R-,t1R+>tt-,tt+	2.5568×10^{-1}	2.5537×10^{-1}	2.5537×10^{-1}	OK: 0.121319%
t1R-,t1R+>A,A	2.0837×10^{-1}	2.0788×10^{-1}	2.0788×10^{-1}	OK: 0.235435%
t1R-,m1R->tt-,m-	6.58×10^{-1}	6.5818×10^{-1}	6.5818×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0273519%
Z1,Z1>W-,W+	2.8542×10^1	2.8573×10^1	2.8573×10^1	OK: 0.108553%
Sb1,Sb1~>b,b~	9.0986	9.1005	9.1037	OK: 0.0560369%
Z1,A>W+,W1-	2.0182×10^2	2.0162×10^2	2.0162×10^2	OK: 0.0991473%
Z,A>W1+,W1-	3.1895	3.1925	3.1925	OK: 0.0940144%
W1+,W1->W+,W-	8.6923	8.7236	1.3988×10^5	Discrepancy: 199.975%
W1+,W1->A,A	7.6591×10^{-1}	7.6562×10^{-1}	7.6563×10^{-1}	OK: 0.0378706%

FeynRules

- Much, much easier to implement new models in mc packages.
- Implement the vertices in a form similar to how you write the Lagrangian on paper.
- Interfaces for new models to:
 - TeX
 - FeynArts
 - CalcHEP/CompHEP
 - MadGraph
 - Sherpa (very soon)
 - ...
- Tested:
 - SM
 - 3-Site Model
 - MSSM
 - MUED
 - Further testing planned for the future.
- New features planned for the future.

CalcHEP 2.5

<http://theory.sinp.msu.ru/~pukhov/calchept.html>

CalcHEP 2.5

- Event Mixer
- Batch File
- Parallelization
- Process Library
- HTML Progress
- HTML Help Files

CalcHEP 2.5

- **Event Mixer**

- Combines CH event files and connects production and decays.

- Produces new event file in LSHA format.

- Contains Qnumbers, Widths, Brs, etc.

- Ready to be run through Pythia or analyzed directly.

- Batch File
- Parallelization
- Process Library
- HTML Progress
- HTML Help Files

CalcHEP 2.5

- Event Mixer
- Batch File

Key phrases to specify details of run:

Process : p,p->t,t~,h1

Decay : h1->b,b~

Composite : p=u,u~,d,d~,G

...

- Parallelization
- Process Library
- HTML Progress
- HTML Help Files

CalcHEP 2.5

- Event Mixer
- Batch File
- Parallelization

Local Machine:

Dual core: 2 cpus at once.

Dual quad core: 8 cpus at once.

PBS cluster:

I have had as many as 100 cpus working at once!

Depends on cluster and process involved.

- Process Library
- HTML Progress
- HTML Help Files

CalcHEP 2.5

- Event Mixer
- Batch File
- Parallelization
- Process Library

Stores symbolic calculation and compilation.

Next time, it is used from the library rather than redone.

- HTML Progress
- HTML Help Files

CalcHEP 2.5

- Event Mixer
- Batch File
- Parallelization
- Process Library
- **HTML Progress**

Progress of batch is written to linked html files.

- **HTML Help Files**

CalcHEP 2.5

- Event Mixer
- Batch File
- Parallelization
- Process Library
- HTML Progress
- **HTML Help Files**

Help files are included in the html.

Tutorial

- Tutorial later today includes:
 - Importing FeynRules generated model file in CH.
 - Generating events in CalcHEP using the batch.


FeynRules

<http://feynrules.phys.ucl.ac.be/>

CalcHEP

<http://theory.sinp.msu.ru/~pukhov/calchep.html>

Appendix



Other validation

Celine Degrande

A one loop mixing in an effective non-linear sigma model was compared with a hand done calculation.