

Note written by E. Salvioni on June 29, 2016.

Comparison of total cross section for $W^+b \rightarrow Zt$ computed

1. In MadGraph5 v2.2.2, using the UFO output of the HiggsTopCurrents model. Settings in param_card:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{cutoff} &= 246.2206 \text{ GeV}, & \text{MB} = \text{ymb} &= 4.7 \text{ GeV}, & \text{MT} = \text{ymt} &= 173 \text{ GeV} \\
 \text{MZ} &= 91.1876 \text{ GeV}, & (\text{MW} &= 79.82436 \text{ GeV}), & \text{aEWM1} &= 127.9, \\
 \text{Gf} &= 1.16637 \times 10^{-5}, & \text{WT} = \text{WZ} = \text{WW} &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

For each cross section 10000 events were generated.

2. Analytically, with the same input parameters.

The results are reported in Tables 1 and 2. Agreement within the statistical uncertainty is found in all cases.

	MadGraph5	Analytical
$\text{cL1} = \text{cL3} = \text{cR} = 0$	32.65 ± 0.03	32.66
$\text{cL1} = 1, \text{cL3} = \text{cR} = 0$	72.59 ± 0.03	72.61
$\text{cL3} = 1, \text{cL1} = \text{cR} = 0$	379.1 ± 0.4	379.3
$\text{cR} = 1, \text{cL1} = \text{cL3} = 0$	37.25 ± 0.04	37.29
$\text{cL1} = -\text{cL3} = 0.3, \text{cR} = 0.5$	17.54 ± 0.01	17.55

Table 1: $\sigma(W^+b \rightarrow Zt)$ in picobarns. The incoming energies are $E_W = 150$ GeV, $E_b = \sqrt{E_W^2 + m_b^2 - m_W^2} = 127.083$ GeV, therefore $\sqrt{s} = E_W + E_b = 277.083$ GeV.

	MadGraph5	Analytical
$\text{cL1} = \text{cL3} = \text{cR} = 0$	125.77 ± 0.23	125.78
$\text{cL1} = -1, \text{cL3} = \text{cR} = 0$	134.4 ± 0.2	134.2
$\text{cL3} = -1, \text{cL1} = \text{cR} = 0$	$2.87 \times 10^{-10} \pm 2 \times 10^{-13}$	0
$\text{cR} = -1, \text{cL1} = \text{cL3} = 0$	136.38 ± 0.30	136.25
$\text{cL1} = -\text{cL3} = -0.4, \text{cR} = 0.5$	1880.5 ± 1.7	1880.6

Table 2: $\sigma(W^+b \rightarrow Zt)$ in picobarns. The incoming energies are $E_W = 1000$ GeV, $E_b = \sqrt{E_W^2 + m_b^2 - m_W^2} = 996.82$ GeV, therefore $\sqrt{s} = E_W + E_b = 1996.82$ GeV. For $\text{cL3} = -1$ the Wtb vertex vanishes, therefore the cross section is zero.