

FeynRules

Status and Plans

Claude Duhr

FeynRules 2012, St. Odile 26/03/2012

Outline

- FeynRules in a nutshell.
- The status, or what has happened since FeynRules 2010
- Plans for the future.

Outline

- FeynRules in a nutshell.
- The status, or what has happened since FeynRules 2010
- Plans for the future.
- Disclaimer:

This whole meeting is supposed to be very informal, with lots of discussions... so feel free to interrupt at any time!


FeynRules in a nutshell

FeynRules in a nutshell

- FeynRules is a *Mathematica* package that allows to derive Feynman rules from a Lagrangian.
- Current public version: 1.6.x, available from <http://feynrules.phys.ucl.ac.be>
- The only requirements on the Lagrangian are:
 - ➔ All indices need to be contracted (Lorentz and gauge invariance)
 - ➔ Locality
 - ➔ Supported field types: spin 0, 1/2, 1, 2 & ghosts


FeynRules in a nutshell

- FeynRules comes with a set of interfaces, that allow to export the Feynman rules to various matrix element generators.
- Interfaces coming with current public version
 - ➔ CalcHep / CompHep
 - ➔ FeynArts / FormCalc
 - ➔ MadGraph
 - ➔ Sherpa
 - ➔ Whizard / Omega



FeynRules in a nutshell

- FeynRules comes with a set of interfaces, that allow to export the Feynman rules to various matrix element generators.
- Interfaces coming with current public version:
 - ➔ CalcHep / CompHep
 - ➔ FeynArts / FormCalc
 - ➔ MadGraph
 - ➔ Sherpa
 - ➔ Whizard / Omega



FeynRules in a nutshell

- The input requested from the user is twofold.

- **The Model File:**
Definitions of particles and parameters (e.g., a quark)

```
F[1] ==  
{ClassName      -> q,  
 SelfConjugate -> False,  
 Indices        -> {Index[Colour]},  
 Mass           -> {MQ, 200},  
 Width          -> {WQ, 5} }
```

- **The Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_a^{\mu\nu} + i\bar{q} \gamma^\mu D_\mu q - M_q \bar{q} q$$

```
L =  
-1/4 FS[G,mu,nu,a] FS[G,mu,nu,a]  
+ I qbar.Ga[mu].DC[q,mu]  
- MQ qbar.q
```


FeynRules in a nutshell

- Once this information has been provided, FeynRules can be used to compute the Feynman rules for the model:

`FeynmanRules[L]`

FeynRules in a nutshell

- Once this information has been provided, FeynRules can be used to compute the Feynman rules for the model:

FeynmanRules[L]

Vertex 1

Particle 1 : Vector , G


Particle 2 : Dirac , q^\dagger

Particle 3 : Dirac , q

Vertex:

$$i g_s \gamma^{\mu_1} \delta_{f_2, f_3} T^{a_1}_{i_2, i_3}$$

FeynRules



FeynRules 2010



FeynRules 2010

- A big fraction of the projects that brought FeynRules to the state it is in now were initiated during the last workshop:
 - ➔ Superfields in FeynRules.
 - ➔ More support for higher dimensional operators:
 - ▶ higher dimensional operators in FeynArts.
 - ▶ UFO - ALOHA.
 - ➔ Automated web validation platform.

Superfields

[CD, Fuks]

- FeynRules 1.4.x required the Lagrangian to be written in component fields.

Superfields

[CD, Fuks]

- FeynRules 1.4.x required the Lagrangian to be written in component fields.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \Phi^\dagger e^{-2gV} \Phi|_{\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2} + \frac{1}{16g^2\tau\mathcal{R}} \text{Tr}(W^\alpha W_\alpha)|_{\theta^2} + \frac{1}{16g^2\tau\mathcal{R}} \text{Tr}(\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}})|_{\bar{\theta}^2} \\ & + W(\Phi)|_{\theta^2} + W^*(\Phi^\dagger)|_{\bar{\theta}^2} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}} \end{aligned}$$

Superfields

[CD, Fuks]

- FeynRules 1.4.x required the Lagrangian to be written in component fields.

$$\mathcal{L} = \Phi^\dagger e^{-2gV} \Phi|_{\theta^2\bar{\theta}^2} + \frac{1}{16g^2\tau\mathcal{R}} \text{Tr}(W^\alpha W_\alpha)|_{\theta^2} + \frac{1}{16g^2\tau\mathcal{R}} \text{Tr}(\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}})|_{\bar{\theta}^2} + W(\Phi)|_{\theta^2} + W^*(\Phi^\dagger)|_{\bar{\theta}^2} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{soft}}$$

- ‘Monte Carlo description’:
 - ➔ Express superfields in terms of component fields.
 - ➔ Express everything in terms of 4-component fermions (beware of the Majoranas!).
 - ➔ Integrate out D and F terms.

Superfields

- FeynRules 1.6.x allows to define superfields directly:

```
CSF[1] == { ClassName -> ER,  
            Chirality  -> Left,  
            Weyl       -> ERw,  
            Scalar     -> ERs,  
            QuantumNumbers -> {Y-> 1},  
            Indices    -> {Index[GEN]}  
          }
```

- The F term does not need to be defined, but is added automatically.
- Once the superfields (and their component fields) have been defined, FeynRules takes care of the rest.

Higher-dimensional operators

- Even though FeynRules 1.4.x could already compute the Feynman rules for higher-dimensional operators, they were ‘useless’, in the sense that they could be exported to almost no Monte Carlo code.
- Reason: Most Monte Carlo codes have internal limitations for the vertices:
 - ➔ hardcoded library of color and/or Lorentz structures.
 - ➔ Upper limit on the number of particles enter in a vertex (usually 4).
- To overcome this problem, a joint effort between the FeynRules team and the MC developers was needed!

The UFO

[Degrande, CD, Fuks,
Grellscheid, Mattelaer, Reiter]



UFO = Universal FeynRules Output

- Idea: Create Python modules that can be linked to other codes and contain all the information on a given model.
- The UFO is a self-contained Python code, and not tied to a specific matrix element generator.
- The content of the FR model files, together with the vertices, is translated into a library of Python objects, that can be linked to other codes.
- By design, the UFO does not make any assumptions on Lorentz/color structures, or the number of particles.
- GoSam and MadGraph 5 use the UFO as the default model format for BSM, Herwig++ will use it in the future.

The UFO & ALOHA



- The development of the UFO goes hand in hand with the development of ALOHA.
- Idea: ALOHA uses the information contained in the UFO to create the (previously-hardcoded) library of Lorentz structures for MadGraph 5 on the fly.
 - ➔ See Olivier Mattelaer's talk.

The UFO & ALOHA



- The development of the UFO goes hand in hand with the development of ALOHA.
- Idea: ALOHA uses the information contained in the UFO to create the (previously-hardcoded) library of Lorentz structures for MadGraph 5 on the fly.
 - ➔ See Olivier Mattelaer's talk.

```
FFV1 = Lorentz(name = 'FFV1',  
               spins = [ 2, 2, 3 ],  
               structure = 'Gamma(3,2,1)')
```

The UFO & ALOHA

- A neat application...

The UFO & ALOHA

- A neat application... in supergravity!

The UFO & ALOHA

- A neat application... in supergravity!

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F_a^{\mu\nu} + \lambda f^{abc} \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}^a F_b^{\nu\rho} F_{\rho\mu}^c)$$

- Broedel and Dixon have a CSW construction for the color-ordered helicity amplitudes, but had no way to check the validity of the construction.

The UFO & ALOHA

- A neat application... in supergravity!

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F_a^{\mu\nu} + \lambda f^{abc} \text{Tr}(F_{\mu\nu}^a F_b^{\nu\rho} F_{\rho\mu}^c)$$

- Broedel and Dixon have a CSW construction for the color-ordered helicity amplitudes, but had no way to check the validity of the construction.
- **Solution:**
 - ➔ Put it into FeynRules, and let it run for a long time...
 - ➔ Get the UFO, and put it into MadGraph 5.
 - ➔ Hack matrix.f to read out the color-ordered helicity amplitudes for individual phase space points.

The UFO & ALOHA

```
WWW42 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV42',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,5)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,5) - P(1,5)*Metric(2,5)*Metric(3,4) - P(4,5)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,5) + P(1,5)*Metric(2,4)*Metric(3,5)')
WWW43 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV43',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(5,1)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,3) - P(3,1)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,5) - P(5,1)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,4) + P(3,1)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW44 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV44',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,1)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(2,3) - P(3,1)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(2,4) - P(4,1)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,5) + P(3,1)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW45 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV45',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(5,2)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,4) - P(3,2)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(2,4) - P(5,2)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,4) + P(3,2)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW46 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV46',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,2)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,5) - P(3,2)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,5) - P(4,2)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,5) + P(3,2)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW47 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV47',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,1)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(2,3) - P(4,1)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,5) - P(2,1)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(3,4) + P(2,1)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW48 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV48',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(5,1)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,3) - P(5,1)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,4) - P(2,1)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(3,5) + P(2,1)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW49 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV49',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(5,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,4) - P(5,3)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,4) + P(2,3)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(3,4) - P(2,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW50 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV50',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,5) - P(4,3)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,5) + P(2,3)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(3,5) - P(2,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW51 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV51',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(3,4)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,5) - P(2,4)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(3,5) - P(3,4)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5) + P(2,4)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
```

The UFO & ALOHA



```
WWW42 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV42',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,5)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,5) - P(1,5)*Metric(2,5)*Metric(3,4) - P(4,5)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,5) + P(1,5)*Metric(2,4)*Metric(3,5)')
WWW43 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV43',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(5,1)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,3) - P(3,1)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,5) - P(5,1)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,4) + P(3,1)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW44 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV44',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,1)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(2,3) - P(3,1)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(2,4) - P(4,1)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,5) + P(3,1)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW45 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV45',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(5,2)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,4) - P(3,2)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(2,4) - P(5,2)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,4) + P(3,2)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW46 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV46',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,2)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,5) - P(3,2)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,5) - P(4,2)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,5) + P(3,2)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW47 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV47',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,3)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(2,3) - P(4,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,5) - P(2,1)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(3,4) + P(2,1)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW48 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV48',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(5,1)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,3) - P(3,2)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,4) - P(2,1)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(3,5) + P(2,1)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW49 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV49',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(5,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,4) - P(5,3)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,4) + P(2,3)*Metric(1,5)*Metric(3,4) - P(2,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW50 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV50',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(4,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(2,5) - P(4,3)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(3,5) + P(2,3)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(3,5) - P(2,3)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
WWW51 = Lorentz(name = 'VVVV51',
                spins = [ 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 ],
                structure = 'P(3,4)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(2,5) - P(2,4)*Metric(1,4)*Metric(3,5) - P(3,4)*Metric(1,2)*Metric(4,5) + P(2,4)*Metric(1,3)*Metric(4,5)')
```

All Helicity amplitudes
agreed out of the box with the
CSW construction!

Higher-dim Operators in FeynArts

[Degrande]


- Similar improvements were made to the FeynArts interface.
- FeynArts has a similar structure to MadGraph/Helas:



Higher-dim Operators in FeynArts

[Degrande]


- Similar improvements were made to the FeynArts interface.
- FeynArts has a similar structure to MadGraph/Helas:



Higher-dim Operators in FeynArts

[Degrande]


- Similar improvements were made to the FeynArts interface.
- FeynArts has a similar structure to MadGraph/Helas:



Higher-dim Operators in FeynArts

[Degrande]

- Similar improvements were made to the FeynArts interface.
- FeynArts has a similar structure to MadGraph/Helas:




Higher-dim Operators in FeynArts

[Degrande]

- Similar improvements were made to the FeynArts interface.
- FeynArts has a similar structure to MadGraph/Helas:


- The new FeynArts interface brings the interface to the same level as the UFO interface!



Validation of new models

- FeynRules does not only provide the power to develop and validate new models, but also to validate them to an unprecedented level!
- A given model can be output to more than one matrix element generator, and their results can be compared
 - ➔ Different conventions
 - ➔ Different gauges
 - ➔ Different ways of handling large cancellations.
- This procedure can easily be automatized!

Web validation



New Model

Claude Duhr

Model Files

another model file

Restriction Files

a restriction file

Parameter Files

a parameter file

Lagrangian :

Test Process : , → ,

Exclude 4 Scalar Vertices

FeynRules Version

Current Development

Standard Model

Claude Duhr

Validation Name :

R. File	P. File	CH	FA	HW	MG4	MG5	SH	WO1	WO2
<input checked="" type="radio"/>		✓✓	✓?	✓?	✓✓	✓?	✓?	✓✓	✓✓
<input type="radio"/> Massless.rst		✓✓	✓?	✓?	✓✓	✓?	✓?	✓✓	✓✓
<input type="radio"/> DiagonalCKM.rst		✓✓	✓?	✓?	✓✓	✓?	✓?	✓✓	✓✓

2→2 Processes

Field Type	Field Type		Index	Indices		Charge	Charges	
	Require	Require Not		Require	Require Not		Require	Require Not
Scalar :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Colour :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>	LeptonNumber :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Fermion :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Gluon :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>	Q :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Vector :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>				GhostNumber :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Spin 2 :	<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>						

[Generate Processes](#)

Test_Val_SM

Standard Model

Claude Duhr

CH FA HW MG4 MG5 SH WO1 WO2

✓✓ ✓? ✓? ✓✓ ✓? ✓? ✓✓ ✓✓

Field Type			Indices			Charges		
Field Type	Require	Require Not	Index	Require	Require Not	Charge	Require	Require Not
Scalar	0	0	Colour	0	0	LeptonNumber	0	0
Fermion	2	0	Gluon	0	0	Q	0	0
Vector	2	0				GhostNumber	0	0
Spin 2	0	0						

- CalcHEP (Feynman gauge)
- MadGraph4
- Whizard1 (Feynman gauge)
- CalcHEP (unitary gauge)
- MadGraph5
- Whizard1 (unitary gauge)
- FeynArts
- Sherpa
- Whizard2 (Feynman gauge)
- Herwig
- Whizard2 (unitary gauge)

Check All

Check None

Stock Models

	SM_MG (MG:u)		SM_CH (CH:f)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	param_card.dat.part	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SM.tgz

Start Fresh Validations

Finish Validations

Standard Model : Test_Val_SM														
ve , ve~	→ Z , Z	730.0	182.5	0.49452	0.49452	0.49384	0.494604	0.494622	0.494547	0.494668	0.49351	0.4945	●	0.17%
ve , ve~	→ W+ , W-	639.0	159.75	1.0603	1.0603	1.0604	1.06053	1.0604	1.06035	1.06073	1.0665	1.0603	●	0.51%
ve , ve~	→ G , G	200.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vm~	→ A , A	200.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vm~	→ A , Z	365.0	91.25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vm~	→ Z , Z	730.0	182.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vm~	→ W+ , W-	639.0	159.75	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vm~	→ G , G	200.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vt~	→ A , A	200.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vt~	→ A , Z	365.0	91.25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vt~	→ Z , Z	730.0	182.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vt~	→ W+ , W-	639.0	159.75	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , vt~	→ G , G	200.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , e+	→ A , W+	319.0	79.75	2.2219	1.9846	1.9809	1.98496	1.98478	1.98454	1.98491	1.9756	1.9845	✗	10.56%
ve , e+	→ Z , W+	684.0	171.0	0.71578	0.54663	0.54717	0.54657	0.546756	0.54641	0.546869	0.54864	0.54661	✗	26.53%
ve , m+	→ A , W+	320.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , m+	→ Z , W+	684.0	171.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , tt+	→ A , W+	326.0	81.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
ve , tt+	→ Z , W+	691.0	172.75	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
vm , vm~	→ A , A	200.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
vm , vm~	→ A , Z	365.0	91.25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
vm , vm~	→ Z , Z	730.0	182.5	0.49452	0.49452	0.49384	0.494505	0.494545	0.494559	0.494447	0.49351	0.4945	●	0.17%
vm , vm~	→ W+ , W-	639.0	159.75	1.0603	1.0603	1.0604	1.0604	1.06033	1.06027	1.06006	1.0665	1.0603	●	0.52%
vm , vm~	→ G , G	200.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
vm , vt~	→ A , A	200.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
vm , vt~	→ A , Z	365.0	91.25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
vm , vt~	→ Z , Z	730.0	182.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%
vm , vt~	→ W+ , W-	639.0	159.75	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	✓	0%

A look into the future...

Future plans

- We have now the possibility to
 - ➔ easily implement SUSY models.
 - ➔ deal with higher-dimensional operators in a successful way.

Future plans

- We have now the possibility to
 - ➔ easily implement SUSY models.
 - ➔ deal with higher-dimensional operators in a successful way.
- The tree level story is basically closed!

Future plans

- We have now the possibility to
 - ➔ easily implement SUSY models.
 - ➔ deal with higher-dimensional operators in a successful way.
- The tree level story is basically closed!
- In other words, many things have already been achieved...

Future plans

- We have now the possibility to
 - ➔ easily implement SUSY models.
 - ➔ deal with higher-dimensional operators in a successful way.
- The tree level story is basically closed!
- In other words, many things have already been achieved...
- ... but there are many more left to do!

Future plans

- Where can we improve...?

Future plans

- Where can we improve...?



Future plans

- Where can we improve...?



- Your ideas are welcome!

Future plans

- Where can we improve...?



- Your ideas are welcome!

Model file GUI

- A GUI is being developed that allows to generate a FeynRules model file automatically
 - ➔ Select your fields.
 - ➔ Select your symmetry groups (gauged or global).
 - ➔ Most general Lagrangian (up to dimension 6) is generated automatically.

Model file GUI

- A GUI is being developed that allows to generate a FeynRules model file automatically
 - ➔ Select your fields.
 - ➔ Select your symmetry groups (gauged or global).
 - ➔ Most general Lagrangian (up to dimension 6) is generated automatically.
- Works for both SUSY and non-SUSY theories.

Model file GUI

- A GUI is being developed that allows to generate a FeynRules model file automatically
 - ➔ Select your fields.
 - ➔ Select your symmetry groups (gauged or global).
 - ➔ Most general Lagrangian (up to dimension 6) is generated automatically.
- Works for both SUSY and non-SUSY theories.
- A sneak preview will be given by Neil on Thursday.

Mass diagonalization


- Even after the Lagrangian has been obtained, FeynRules cannot diagonalize the mass matrix automatically.
- FeynRules should be able to
 - ➔ Get the mass matrix.
 - ➔ Diagonalize it numerically.
 - ➔ Put the numerical values back into FeynRules / an updated parameter card.
- This was on the to-do list of FeynRules 2010 already.

Decay rates and branching ratios

- Another missing piece for a complete model file are the widths of the particles.
- **Idea:** Compute decays (analytically) in *Mathematica*:
 - ➔ Use `FeynRules` to generate vertices.
 - ➔ Compute (1-to-2) decays analytically.
 - ➔ Put the numerical values back into `FeynRules` / an updated parameter card.
- The branching ratios can be output in a LHA style decay table.
- Interfacing to Python / UFO to compute branching ratios numerically on the fly in the UFO?

Future plans


- Where can we improve...?



- Your ideas are welcome!

Future plans

- Where can we improve...?



- Your ideas are welcome!

Spin 3/2 fields

- The development version of FeynRules allows to implement models including spin 3/2 particles.
- Implementation basically ready:
 - ➔ Feynman rules can be computed.
 - ➔ Interfaces to CalcHep and MadGraph 5 (UFO) have been updated.
- Currently under testing against independent MadGraph 4 implementation. [Hagiwara, Mawatari, Takaesu]
- What about spin 3/2 in FeynArts..?

SUSY RGE's

- The development version of FeynRules allows to extract the one-loop renormalization group equations for generic SUSY models.

SUSY RGE's

- The development version of FeynRules allows to extract the one-loop renormalization group equations for generic SUSY models.
- Starting from the superspace action, the RGE's are simply obtained via

`RGE[LSoft, SuperW]`

SUSY RGE's

- The development version of FeynRules allows to extract the one-loop renormalization group equations for generic SUSY models.
- Starting from the superspace action, the RGE's are simply obtained via

RGE[LSoft, SuperW]

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\mu}{dt} &= \mu \left[-\frac{3g'^2}{80\pi^2} - \frac{3g_w^2}{16\pi^2} + \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{d\dagger} \mathbf{y}^d] + \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{u\dagger} \mathbf{y}^u] + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{e\dagger} \mathbf{y}^e] \right] \\
 \frac{db}{dt} &= b \left[-\frac{3g'^2}{80\pi^2} - \frac{3g_w^2}{16\pi^2} + \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{d\dagger} \mathbf{y}^d] + \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{u\dagger} \mathbf{y}^u] + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{e\dagger} \mathbf{y}^e] \right] \\
 &+ \mu \left[\frac{3g'^2 M_1}{40\pi^2} + \frac{3g_w^2 M_2}{8\pi^2} + \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{d\dagger} \mathbf{T}^d] + \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{u\dagger} \mathbf{T}^u] + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{y}^{e\dagger} \mathbf{T}^e] \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

SUSY RGE's

- The development version of FeynRules allows to extract the one-loop renormalization group equations for generic SUSY models.
 - Starting from the superspace action, the RGE's are simply obtained via
- `RGE[LSoft, SuperW]`
- In parallel, an interface to SuSpect 3 is being developed that allows to input the RGE's obtained by FeynRules into SuSpect to solve them numerically.

`WriteSuSpectOutput[LSoft, SuperW]`

Towards NLO

- We are slowly getting to the point that we have automated tools for NLO computations:
 - ➔ Blackhat
 - ➔ GoSam
 - ➔ Helac-NLO
 - ➔ MadLoops
 - ➔ Rocket
- Most of these codes only do SM processes so far.
- Reason: Beyond LO, we do not only need tree-level Feynman rules, but also counterterms, etc.
- Future releases of FeynRules will allow to compute also these quantities!

Extraction of counterterms

- The (not public) development version of FeynRules already allows to extract counterterm Feynman rules.

```
ExtractCounterterms[l[s,f],{aS,1}]
```

$$\blacktriangleright I_{sf} \rightarrow I_{sf} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \left[(\delta Z_{\parallel}^{L(1)})_{ff'} (P_L)_{ss'} + (\delta Z_{\parallel}^{R(1)})_{ff'} (P_R)_{ss'} \right] I_{s'f'}$$


```
ExtractCounterterms[ydo,{{aS,2},{aEW,1}}]
```

$$\blacktriangleright y_d \rightarrow y_d + \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \delta y_d^{(1,0)} + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \delta y_d^{(0,1)} + \frac{\alpha_s^2}{4\pi^2} \delta y_d^{(2,0)} + \frac{\alpha_s \alpha}{4\pi^2} \delta y_d^{(1,1)} + \frac{\alpha_s^2 \alpha}{8\pi^3} \delta y_d^{(2,1)}$$

- At the moment, the values of the counterterms for the independent parameters and the fields must still be given by hand.
- In addition, this should also provide the counterterms for FeynArts.
- Still to do: How to get the values for the ‘independent’ counterterms.

R2 terms

- All the automatized NLO codes are based, in one way or another, on some unitary-based approach.
- Unitarity, however, does not provide everything, but misses the rational pieces (without cuts).
- Some can be obtained, others (R2) need a different approach.
- R2 terms can be obtained via effective tree-level Feynman rules.




$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\frac{ig^4 N_{col}}{96\pi^2} \sum_{P(234)} \left\{ \left[\frac{\delta_{a_1 a_2} \delta_{a_3 a_4} + \delta_{a_1 a_3} \delta_{a_4 a_2} + \delta_{a_1 a_4} \delta_{a_2 a_3}}{N_{col}} \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 4 \text{Tr}(t^{a_1} t^{a_3} t^{a_2} t^{a_4} + t^{a_1} t^{a_4} t^{a_2} t^{a_3}) (3 + \lambda_{HV}) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \text{Tr}(\{t^{a_1} t^{a_2}\} \{t^{a_3} t^{a_4}\}) (5 + 2\lambda_{HV}) \right] g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} g_{\mu_3 \mu_4} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 12 \frac{N_f}{N_{col}} \text{Tr}(t^{a_1} t^{a_2} t^{a_3} t^{a_4}) \left(\frac{5}{3} g_{\mu_1 \mu_3} g_{\mu_2 \mu_4} - g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} g_{\mu_3 \mu_4} - g_{\mu_2 \mu_3} g_{\mu_1 \mu_4} \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

[Draggiotis, Garzelli, Papadopoulos, Pittau;
Garzelli, Malamos, Pittau]

Future plans


- Where can we improve...?



- Your ideas are welcome!

Future plans

- Where can we improve...?



- Your ideas are welcome!


UFO@NLO

- Both GoSam and MadLoops use the UFO as the BSM model format.
 - ➔ Need to extend the existing UFO format to NLO.
- Some steps were already taken

```
V_R24G = CTVertex(name = 'V_R24G',
  particles = [ P.G, P.G, P.G, P.G ],
  color = [ 'Tr(1,2)*Tr(3,4)', 'Tr(1,3)*Tr(2,4)', 'Tr(1,4)*Tr(2,3)', \
    'd(-1,1,2)*d(-1,3,4)', 'd(-1,1,3)*d(-1,2,4)', 'd(-1,1,4)*d(-1,2,3)'],
  lorentz = [ L.R2_4G_1234, L.R2_4G_1324, L.R2_4G_1423 ],
  loop_particles = [ [[P.G]], [[P.u],[P.d],[P.c],[P.s]] ],
  couplings = {(0,0,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta5,(0,1,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta7,(0,2,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta7, \
    (1,0,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta7,(1,1,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta5,(1,2,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta7, \
    (2,0,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta7,(2,1,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta7,(2,2,0):C.GC_4GR2_Gluon_delta5, \
    (3,0,0):C.GC_4GR2_4Struct,(3,1,0):C.GC_4GR2_2Struct,(3,2,0):C.GC_4GR2_2Struct, \
    (4,0,0):C.GC_4GR2_2Struct,(4,1,0):C.GC_4GR2_4Struct,(4,2,0):C.GC_4GR2_2Struct, \
    (5,0,0):C.GC_4GR2_2Struct,(5,1,0):C.GC_4GR2_2Struct,(5,2,0):C.GC_4GR2_4Struct, \
    (0,0,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta11,(0,1,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta5,(0,2,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta5, \
    (1,0,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta5,(1,1,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta11,(1,2,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta5, \
    (2,0,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta5,(2,1,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta5,(2,2,1):C.GC_4GR2_Fermion_delta11, \
    (3,0,1):C.GC_4GR2_11Struct,(3,1,1):C.GC_4GR2_5Struct,(3,2,1):C.GC_4GR2_5Struct, \
    (4,0,1):C.GC_4GR2_5Struct,(4,1,1):C.GC_4GR2_11Struct,(4,2,1):C.GC_4GR2_5Struct, \
    (5,0,1):C.GC_4GR2_5Struct,(5,1,1):C.GC_4GR2_5Struct,(5,2,1):C.GC_4GR2_11Struct },
  type = 'R2')
```

Future plans

- Where can we improve...?



- Your ideas are welcome!

Conclusion

- Many milestones have been achieved since the last FeynRules workshop in 2010:
 - ➔ Superfields
 - ➔ UFO & ALOHA
 - ➔ Support of color sextets.
 - ➔ Web validation:
- New developments that are in the pipeline:
 - ➔ Spin $3/2$
 - ➔ Susy RGE's
 - ➔ Interface to SuSpect
 - ➔ Web validation platform.
 - ➔ Moving towards NLO

Enjoy the workshop!

